
**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number: 000-1288855

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

55-0865043
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2477 East Commercial Blvd., Fort Lauderdale, FL 33308
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (954) 776-2332

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u>	<u>Name of each exchange on which registered</u>
Common Stock, Par Value \$0.01 per share	NASDAQ Global Market

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act of 1993. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant (616,690 shares) on April 12, 2010, was approximately \$2,287,920, computed by reference to the closing market price at \$3.71 per share as of April 12, 2010. For purposes of this information, the outstanding shares of common stock owned by directors and executive officers of the registrant were deemed to be shares of common stock held by affiliates.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest most practicable date: The number of shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the registrant outstanding as of April 12, 2010 was 819,358 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in June 2011 to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the issuer's fiscal year end are incorporated by reference into Part III, Items 10 through 14, of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<u>PART I</u>	3
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	
<u>Forward-Looking Statements</u>	3
<u>General</u>	3
<u>Recent Developments</u>	3
<u>Banking Products</u>	5
<u>Strategy</u>	6
<u>Lending Activities</u>	6
<u>Deposit Activities</u>	7
<u>Investments</u>	7
<u>Correspondent Banking</u>	7
<u>Data Processing</u>	8
<u>Internet Banking</u>	8
<u>Competition</u>	8
<u>Employees</u>	8
<u>Supervision and Regulation</u>	8
<u>Statistical Profile and Other Financial Data</u>	15
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	15
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	16
<u>Item 4. (Reserved)</u>	
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	16
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	17
<u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	18
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements</u>	34
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	35
<u>Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures</u>	35
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	36
<u>PART III</u>	36
<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance</u>	36
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	36
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	36
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	37
<u>Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	37
<u>PART IV</u>	37
<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	37
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	38

PART I

Item 1. Business

Forward-Looking Statements

We have made forward-looking statements in this Annual Report about the financial condition, results of operations, and business of our company. These statements are not historical facts and include expressions concerning the future that are subject to risks and uncertainties. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by such forward-looking statements include, among other things, the following possibilities:

- general economic conditions, either nationally or regionally, that are less favorable than expected resulting in, among other things, a deterioration in credit quality and an increase in credit risk-related losses and expenses;
- changes in the interest rate environment that reduce margins;
- competitive pressure in the banking industry that increases significantly;
- changes that occur in the regulatory environment; and
- changes that occur in business conditions and the rate of inflation.

When used in this Annual Report, the words “believes,” “estimates,” “plans,” “expects,” “should,” “may,” “might,” “outlook,” and “anticipates,” as well as similar expressions, as they relate to OptimumBank Holdings, Inc., or its management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements.

General

OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. was formed in 2004 as a Florida corporation to serve as a one-bank holding company for OptimumBank and acquired all of the shares of OptimumBank in May 2004. The holding company’s only business is the ownership and operation of OptimumBank and subsidiaries of the bank. OptimumBank is a Florida chartered bank which opened in November 2000, and its deposits are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or FDIC. OptimumBank provides community banking services to individuals and businesses in Broward, Miami-Dade and Palm Beach counties. OptimumBank conducts operations from its Fort Lauderdale headquarters and three branch offices in Fort Lauderdale, Plantation and Deerfield Beach. The Bank’s wholly-owned subsidiaries are OB Real Estate Management, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings, LLC, OB Real Estate Holding 1503, LLC, all of which were formed in 2009 and OB Real Estate Holdings 1695, OB Real Estate Holdings 1669, OB Real Estate Holdings 1645 and OB Real Estate Holdings 1565, all formed in 2010. OB Real Estate Management, LLC is primarily engaged in managing foreclosed real estate but had no activity in 2010. All other bank subsidiaries are primarily engaged in holding and disposing of foreclosed real estate.

OptimumBank Holdings is subject to the supervision and regulation of the Federal Reserve. OptimumBank is subject to the supervision and regulation of the Florida Office of Financial Regulation, or OFR, and the FDIC. OptimumBank is a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta.

At December 31, 2010, our company had total assets of \$190.3 million, net loans of \$113.5 million, total deposits of \$148.2 million and stockholders’ equity of \$2.8 million. During 2010, our company had a net loss of \$8.5 million.

Recent Developments

In 2008, the Company and the Bank began to experience a substantial increase in impaired and non-performing loans and associated credit losses due to the nationwide economic recession and the related plunge in real estate values in south Florida. As a result, the Company’s net income for 2008 was only \$520,000. These trends continued in 2009 and 2010, with the result that the Company and the Bank sustained net losses of \$11.5 million in 2009 and \$8.5 million in 2010, primarily from significant increases in the provision for loan losses. These operating losses have eroded OptimumBank’s regulatory capital and weakened its financial condition. As a result of these losses and other related operating issues, on April 16, 2010, OptimumBank was required to enter into a Consent Order with the FDIC and Florida Office of Financial Regulation, and, on June 22, 2010, the Company was required to enter into a Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta, or Federal Reserve.

Consent Order. The Consent Order imposes a number of requirements on the Bank intended to improve the Bank's condition, including a requirement that the Bank maintain a ratio of Tier 1 capital to adjusted total assets (the "Tier 1 Leverage Ratio") of 8.0%, and a ratio of total risk based capital to risk-weighted assets of 12.0% (the "Total Risk Based Capital Ratio"). On December 31, 2010, the Bank had a Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4.02% and a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 6.7%. The Bank would have needed approximately \$7.8 million in additional capital as of December 31, 2010 in order to comply with the capital requirements of the Consent Order.

The Consent Order contains the following principal requirements:

- The Bank is required to have and retain qualified and appropriately experienced senior management, including a chief executive officer, a chief lending officer and a chief financial officer, who are given the authority to implement the provisions of the Consent Order.
- Any proposed changes in the Bank's Board of Directors or senior executive officers are subject to the prior consent of the FDIC and the OFR.
- The Bank is required to maintain both a fully funded allowance for loan and lease losses satisfactory to the FDIC and the OFR and a minimum Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 8% and a total risk-based capital ratio of 12% for as long as the Consent Order remains in effect.
- The Bank must undertake over a two-year period a scheduled reduction of the balance of loans classified "substandard" and "doubtful" in its 2009 FDIC examination by at least 75%.
- The Bank is required to reduce the volume of its adversely classified private label mortgage backed securities under a plan acceptable to the FDIC and OFR.
- The Bank must submit to the FDIC and the OFR for their review and comment a written business/strategic plan covering the overall operation of the Bank.
- The Bank must implement a plan to improve earnings, addressing goals and strategies for improving and sustaining earnings, major areas for improvement in the Bank's operating performance, realistic and comprehensive budgets and a budget review process.
- The Bank must revise and implement its written lending and collection policy to provide effective guidance and control of the lending function. The Bank is required to revise, implement and incorporate recommendations of the FDIC and OFR with respect to the following policies or plans:
 - Lending and Collection Policies
 - Investment Policy
 - Liquidity, Contingency Funding and Funds Management Plan
 - Interest Rate Risk Management Policy
 - Internal Loan Review and Grading System and
 - Internal Control Policy
- The Bank must develop and implement a satisfactory interest rate risk management policy that is appropriate to the size of the Bank and the complexity of its assets.
- The Bank may not pay any dividends or bonuses without the prior approval of the FDIC.
- The Bank may not accept, renew or rollover any brokered deposits except with the prior approval of the FDIC.
- The Bank is required to notify the FDIC prior to undertaking asset growth of 10% or more per annum while the Consent Order remains in effect.
- The Bank is required to file periodic progress reports with the FDIC and the OFR.

Management believes that the Bank is currently in substantial compliance with all the requirements of the Consent Order except for the Bank's failure to attain the regulatory capital ratio requirements. The Company is currently conducting a private placement offering of its common stock intended to result in the Bank attaining such capital ratios. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will raise sufficient capital, if any, in the private placement offering for the Bank to meet the capital requirements of the Consent Order.

Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve. The Written Agreement between the Company and the Federal Reserve requires the Company to serve as a source of strength to certain aspects of the capitalization, operation and management of the Bank. The Company also agreed that while the Written Agreement remains in effect, without prior approval of the Federal Reserve, it will not:

- declare or pay dividends,
- directly or indirectly take dividends or any other form of payment representing a reduction in capital from OptimumBank.
- make any distributions of interest, principal, or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities,
- incur, increase, or guarantee any debt or purchase or redeem any shares of its stock, or
- appoint any new director or senior executive officer, or change the responsibilities of any senior executive officer so that the officer would assume a different senior executive officer position.

Management believes that the Company is in substantial compliance with all the provisions of the Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve.

Company's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. The Company's recent and continuing increases in nonperforming assets, declining net interest margin, continuing high levels of noninterest expenses related to the credit problems, and eroding regulatory capital raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to return to profitability and continue as a going concern. The Bank is not in compliance with its regulatory capital requirements set forth in the Consent Order, as well as the FDIC regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. For additional information on the Bank's regulatory capital requirements, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation- Regulatory Capital Adequacy".

We have determined that additional capital will be required for us to return to profitability and continue operations. Since January 2011, we have engaged in a private placement offering of our common stock to increase our regulatory capital. It remains to be seen whether the offering will be successful. If we are unable to raise capital and the Bank's regulatory capital levels continue to decline, the regulators may take additional enforcement action against us, including placing the Bank into conservatorship or receivership, to protect the interests of depositors insured by the FDIC.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the discharge of liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future, and do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability or classification of assets, and the amounts or classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of any regulatory action which would affect our ability to continue as a going concern.

Banking Products

Our revenues are primarily derived from interest on, and fees received in connection with, real estate, and other loans, and from interest from mortgage-backed securities and short-term investments. The principal sources of funds for our lending activities are deposits, borrowings, repayment of loans, and the repayment, or maturity of investment securities. Our principal expenses are the interest paid on deposits, and operating and general administrative expenses.

As is the case with banking institutions generally, our operations are materially and significantly influenced by general economic conditions and by related monetary and fiscal policies of financial institution regulatory agencies, including the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and the FDIC. Deposit flows and costs of funds are influenced by interest rates on competing investments and general market rates of interest. Lending activities are affected by the demand for financing of real estate and other types of loans, which in turn is affected by the interest rates at which such financing may be offered and other factors affecting local demand and availability of funds. We face strong competition in attracting deposits (our primary source of lendable funds) and originating loans.

We provide a range of consumer and commercial banking services to individuals and businesses. The basic services we offer include: demand interest-bearing and noninterest-bearing accounts, money market deposit accounts, NOW accounts, time deposits, credit cards, cash management, direct deposits, notary services, money orders, night depository, travelers' checks, cashier's checks, domestic collections, savings bonds, bank drafts, automated teller services, drive-in tellers, and banking by mail. In addition, we make residential and commercial real estate loans and consumer loans. We provide ATM cards, as a part of the Star, Presto and Cirrus networks, thereby permitting customers to utilize the convenience of ATMs worldwide. We do not have trust powers and provide no trust services.

Strategy

Our continuing goal is to become one of the leading community banking organizations in Broward County through steady, reasonable and controlled growth and a prudent operating strategy.

Prior to 2010, our operating and business strategy emphasized:

- Local management and local decision making resulting in rapid, personalized customer service, rapid credit decisions and expedited closings;
- Maintaining our presence in Broward County through a branch network- we currently have three branch banking offices in Broward County;
- Real estate lending activities by continuing to originate adjustable rate residential and commercial mortgage loans for our customers;
- Maintaining high credit quality through strict underwriting criteria and our knowledge of the real estate values in our market area;
- Personalized products and service - we strive to provide innovative financial products, high service levels and to maintain strong customer relationships. We seek customers who prefer to conduct business with a locally owned and managed institution.

Our ability to execute this strategy is currently limited by the Consent Order and our current capital levels. As a result, our management has focused its efforts on a short-term strategy that has the following objectives:

- Stabilize the Company's Loan Portfolio- Management discontinued new loan originations and devoted significant resources to the identification and workout of problem loans.
- Stabilize the Company's Funding Sources- Management acted to reduce the Bank's dependence on brokered deposits and wholesale borrowings.
- Increase the Bank's Capital Ratios- Management reduced the size of the Bank and is attempting to raise capital in a private placement offering of the Company's common stock.

Lending Activities

Prior to 2010, we offered primarily real estate and to a lesser extent, consumer loans, to individuals and small businesses and other organizations that were located in or conduct a substantial portion of their business in our market area. Our market area consists of the tri-county area of Broward, Miami-Dade and Palm Beach counties. Our net loans at December 31, 2010 were \$113.5 million, or 59.8% of total assets. The interest rates we charged on loans varied with the degree of risk, maturity, and amount of the loan, and were further subject to competitive pressures, money market rates, availability of funds, and government regulations. We have no foreign loans or loans for highly leveraged transactions.

Our loans are concentrated in two major areas: residential and commercial real estate loans. As of December 31, 2010, 99.7% of our loan portfolio consisted of loans secured by mortgages on real estate, of which approximately 34.2% of the total loan portfolio was secured by one-to-four family residential properties. Our real estate loans are located primarily in our tri-county market area.

Our real estate loans are secured by mortgages and consist primarily of loans to individuals and businesses for the purchase or improvement of, or investment in, real estate. These real estate loans were made at fixed or variable interest rates and are normally adjustable rate mortgages which adjust annually after the initial three to five year period. Our fixed rate loans generally are for terms of five years or less, and are repayable in monthly installments based on a maximum 30-year amortization schedule.

Prior to 2010, loan originations were derived primarily from existing customers, direct marketing and independent mortgage brokers that processed our loans. We paid fees to these brokers in connection with their services; however, we performed the underwriting and approval of each of the loans we fund. Loan originations have also been derived from existing customers, and referrals from existing customers and Bank employees.

Certain credit risks are inherent in making loans. These include prepayment risks, risks resulting from uncertainties in the future value of collateral, risks resulting from changes in economic and industry conditions including interest rates, and risks inherent in dealing with individual borrowers. A significant portion of our portfolio is collateralized by real estate in south Florida, and we are susceptible to local economic downturns. We attempt to minimize credit losses through various means. On larger credits, we rely on the cash flow and assets of a debtor as the source of repayment as well as the value of the underlying collateral. We also generally limit our loans to 80% of the value of the underlying real estate collateral. We generally charge a prepayment penalty if a loan is repaid within the first two to three years of origination to recover any fees we paid for the origination of the loan.

Due to the effects of the current recession, our earnings have been materially affected by required provisions for loan losses, and to a lesser degree, reduced interest income and increased loan administration expenses, stemming primarily from deterioration in our commercial real estate and land loan portfolios. Since the last quarter of 2009, due to the need for the Bank to reduce its exposure to credit risk and preserve regulatory capital, we have limited our lending activities to restructuring, modifying, or extending existing loans and lending to purchasers of real estate properties owned by the Bank.

Deposit Activities

Deposits are the major source of our funds for lending and other investment activities. We consider the majority of our regular savings, demand, NOW, money market deposit accounts and CD's under \$100,000 to be core deposits. These accounts comprised approximately 65.4% of our total deposits at December 31, 2010. Approximately 75.1% of our deposits at December 31, 2010 were certificates of deposit. Generally, we attempt to maintain the rates paid on our deposits at a competitive level. Time deposits of \$100,000 and over made up approximately 34.6% of our total deposits at December 31, 2010. Although these large deposits are not traditionally considered core deposits, the majority of these deposits have served as a stable source of funds in our targeted market. The majority of our deposits are generated from Broward County.

We have used brokered deposits to facilitate the funding of our mortgage lending activities in circumstances when larger than anticipated loan volumes occur and there is not enough time to fund the additional loan demand through traditional deposit solicitation. The time frame from the initial order to the final funding of brokered deposits is generally one to three days. The rates paid on these brokered deposits are typically equal to or slightly less than the high end of the interest rates in OptimumBank's competitive market area. Since December 2009, we have no longer solicited or renewed existing brokered deposits due to regulatory restrictions. Brokered deposits amounted to 1.2% and 9.2% of our total deposits at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Investments

Our investment securities portfolio was approximately \$ 51.1 million and \$81.1 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, representing 26.8% and 30.1% of our total assets. At December 31, 2010, approximately 47.0% of this portfolio was invested in U.S. government agency mortgage-backed securities and 52.8% of this portfolio was invested in private label mortgage-backed securities. Mortgage backed securities generally have a shorter life than the stated maturity. Our investments are managed in relation to loan demand and deposit growth, and are generally used to provide for the investment of excess funds at minimal risk levels while providing liquidity to fund increases in loan demand or to offset fluctuations in deposits.

Federal funds sold is the excess cash we have available over and above daily cash needs. This money is invested on an overnight basis with approved correspondent banks.

Correspondent Banking

Correspondent banking involves one bank providing services to another bank which cannot provide that service for itself from an economic or practical standpoint. We are required to purchase correspondent services offered by larger banks, including check collections, purchase of federal funds, security safekeeping, investment services, coin and currency supplies, overline and liquidity loan participations, and sales of loans to or participations with correspondent banks.

We have established a correspondent relationship with Independent Bankers Bank of Florida. We pay for such services in cash as opposed to keeping compensating balances. We also sell loan participations to other banks with respect to loans which exceed our lending limit.

Data Processing

We outsource most of our data processing services, including an automated general ledger and deposit accounting; however, we service all our loans in-house.

Internet Banking

We maintain a website at www.optimumbank.com where customers can access account balances, view current account activity and their previous statement, view images of paid checks and transfer funds between accounts. Our website provides information regarding our Visa credit card offering.

Competition

We encounter strong competition both in making loans and in attracting deposits. The deregulation of the banking industry and the widespread enactment of state laws which permit multi-bank holding companies as well as an increasing level of interstate banking have created a highly competitive environment for commercial banking. In one or more aspects of our business, we compete with other commercial banks, savings and loan associations, credit unions, finance companies, mutual funds, insurance companies, brokerage and investment banking companies, and other financial intermediaries. Most of these competitors, some of which are affiliated with bank holding companies, have substantially greater resources and lending limits, and may offer certain services that we do not currently provide. In addition, many of our non-bank competitors are not subject to the same extensive federal regulations that govern federally insured banks. Recent federal and state legislation has heightened the competitive environment in which financial institutions must conduct their business, and the potential for competition among financial institutions of all types has increased significantly.

To compete, we rely upon specialized services, responsive handling of customer needs, and personal contacts by our officers, directors, and staff. Large multi-branch banking competitors tend to compete primarily by rate and the number and location of branches while smaller, independent financial institutions tend to compete primarily by rate and personal service.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had nineteen full-time employees, including executive officers. The employees are not represented by a collective bargaining unit. We consider relations with employees to be good.

Supervision and Regulation

Banks and their holding companies are extensively regulated under both federal and state law. The following is a brief summary of certain statutes, rules, and regulations affecting OptimumBank Holdings and OptimumBank. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the particular statutory and regulatory provisions referred to below and is not intended to be an exhaustive description of the statutes or regulations applicable to the business of our company and our bank. Supervision, regulation, and examination of banks by regulatory agencies are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, rather than shareholders.

Dodd-Frank Act. On July 21, 2010, President Obama signed the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, into law. The Dodd-Frank Act will have a broad impact on the financial services industry, including potentially significant regulatory and compliance changes including, among other things, (1) enhanced resolution authority of troubled and failing banks and their holding companies; (2) potential changes to capital and liquidity requirements; (3) changes to regulatory examination fees; (4) changes to assessments to be paid to the FDIC for federal deposit insurance; and (5) numerous other provisions designed to improve supervision and oversight of, and strengthening safety and soundness for, the financial services sector. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act establishes a new framework for systemic risk oversight within the financial system to be distributed among new and existing federal regulatory agencies, including the Financial Stability Oversight Council, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, or the Federal Reserve, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, or the OCC, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, or the FDIC. Many of the requirements called for in the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented over time and most will be subject to implementing regulations over the course of several years. Given the uncertainty associated with the manner in which the provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act will be implemented by the various regulatory agencies and through regulations, the full extent of the impact such requirements will have on our

operations is unclear. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act may impact the profitability of our business activities, require changes to certain of our business practices, impose upon us more stringent capital, liquidity and leverage ratio requirements or otherwise adversely affect our business. These changes may also require us to invest significant management attention and resources to evaluate and make necessary changes in order to comply with new statutory and regulatory requirements. Failure to comply with any such laws, regulations, or principles or changes thereto, may negatively impact our results of operations and financial condition. While we cannot predict what effect any presently contemplated or future changes in the laws or regulations or their interpretations would have on us, these changes could be materially adverse to our investors and shareholders.

The following items provide a brief description of the impact of the Dodd-Frank Act on our operations and activities, both currently and prospectively.

- *Increased Capital Standards and Enhanced Supervision.* The federal banking agencies are required to establish minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements for banks and bank holding companies. These new standards will be no lower than existing regulatory capital and leverage standards applicable to insured depository institutions and may, in fact, be higher when established by the agencies. Compliance with heightened capital standards may reduce our ability to generate or originate revenue-producing assets and thereby restrict revenue generation from banking and non-banking operations. The Dodd-Frank Act also increases regulatory oversight, supervision and examination of banks, bank holding companies and their respective subsidiaries by the appropriate regulatory agency. Compliance with new regulatory requirements and expanded examination processes could increase the Company's cost of operations.
- *The Consumer Financial Protection Bureau.* The Dodd-Frank Act creates a new, independent Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or the Bureau, within the Federal Reserve. The Bureau is tasked with establishing and implementing rules and regulations under certain federal consumer protection laws with respect to the conduct of providers of certain consumer financial products and services. The Bureau has rulemaking authority over many of the statutes governing products and services offered to bank consumers. Generally, we will not be directly subject to the rules and regulations of the Bureau. However, the Dodd-Frank Act permits states to adopt consumer protection laws and regulations that are stricter than those regulations promulgated by the Bureau and state attorneys general are permitted to enforce consumer protection rules adopted by the Bureau against certain state-chartered institutions. Any such new regulations could increase our cost of operations and, as a result, could limit our ability to expand into these products and services.
- *Deposit Insurance.* The Dodd-Frank Act makes permanent the \$250,000 deposit insurance limit for insured deposits. Amendments to the Federal Deposit Insurance Act also revise the assessment base against which an insured depository institution's deposit insurance premiums paid to the FDIC's Deposit Insurance Fund, or the DIF, will be calculated. Under the amendments, the assessment base will no longer be the institution's deposit base, but rather its average consolidated total assets less its average tangible equity. Additionally, the Dodd-Frank Act makes changes to the minimum designated reserve ratio of the DIF, increasing the minimum from 1.15 percent to 1.35 percent of the estimated amount of total insured deposits, and eliminating the requirement that the FDIC pay dividends to depository institutions when the reserve ratio exceeds certain thresholds. Several of these provisions could increase the FDIC deposit insurance premiums paid by us. The Dodd-Frank Act also provides that, effective one year after the date of enactment, depository institutions may pay interest on demand deposits.
- *Transactions with Affiliates.* The Dodd-Frank Act enhances the requirements for certain transactions with affiliates under Section 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act, including an expansion of the definition of "covered transactions" and increasing the amount of time for which collateral requirements regarding covered transactions must be maintained.
- *Transactions with Insiders.* Insider transaction limitations are expanded through the strengthening on loan restrictions to insiders and the expansion of the types of transactions subject to the various limits.
- *Enhanced Lending Limits.* The Dodd-Frank Act strengthens the existing limits on a depository institution's credit exposure to one borrower. Current banking law limits a depository institution's ability to extend credit to one person (or group of related persons) in an amount exceeding certain thresholds. The Dodd-Frank Act expands the scope of these restrictions to include credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, repurchase agreements, and securities lending and borrowing transactions.

Company Regulation

General. As a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (the “BHCA”), OptimumBank Holdings is subject to the regulation and supervision of, and inspection by, the Federal Reserve Board (“Federal Reserve”). OptimumBank Holdings also is required to file with the Federal Reserve annual reports and other information regarding its business operations, and those of its subsidiaries. In the past, the BHCA limited the activities of bank holding companies and their subsidiaries to activities which were limited to banking, managing or controlling banks, furnishing services to or performing services for their subsidiaries or engaging in any other activity which the Federal Reserve determined to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be properly incident thereto. Under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Financial Modernization Act of 1999 which is discussed below, bank holding companies now have the opportunity to seek broadened authority, subject to limitations on investment, to engage in activities that are “financial in nature” if all of their subsidiary depository institutions are well capitalized, well managed, and have at least a satisfactory rating under the Community Reinvestment Act, which is also discussed below.

In this regard, the BHCA prohibits a bank holding company, with certain limited exceptions, from (i) acquiring or retaining direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of the outstanding voting stock of any company which is not a bank or bank holding company, or (ii) engaging directly or indirectly in activities other than those of banking, managing or controlling banks, or performing services for its subsidiaries, unless such non-banking business is determined by the FRB to be so closely related to banking or managing or controlling banks as to be properly incident thereto. In making such determinations, the FRB is required to weigh the expected benefit to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition or gains in efficiency, against the possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices. Generally, bank holding companies, such as OptimumBank Holdings, are required to obtain prior approval of the Federal Reserve to engage in any new activity not previously approved by the Federal Reserve.

Change of Holding Company Control. The BHCA also requires that every bank holding company obtain the prior approval of the Federal Reserve before it may acquire all or substantially all of the assets of any bank, or ownership or control of any voting shares of any bank, if after such acquisition it would own or control, directly or indirectly, more than 5% of the voting shares of such bank. In approving bank acquisitions by bank holding companies, the Federal Reserve is required to consider the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the bank holding company and the banks concerned, the convenience and needs of the communities to be served, including the parties’ performance under the Community Reinvestment Act (discussed below) and various competitive factors. As described in greater detail below, pursuant to the Riegle-Neal Interstate Banking and Branch Efficiency Act of 1994 (the “Interstate Banking and Branching Act”), a bank holding company is permitted to acquire banks in states other than its home state.

The BHCA further prohibits a person or group of persons from acquiring “control” of a bank holding company unless the Federal Reserve Bank has been notified and has not objected to the transaction. Under a rebuttable presumption established by the Federal Reserve, the acquisition of 10% or more of a class of voting stock of a bank holding company with a class of securities registered under Section 12 of the Exchange Act would, under the circumstances set forth in the presumption, constitute acquisition of control of the bank holding company. In addition, any person or group of persons must obtain the approval of the Federal Reserve under the BHCA before acquiring 25% (5% in the case of an acquirer that is already a bank holding company) or more of the outstanding common stock of a bank holding company, or otherwise obtaining control or a “controlling influence” over the bank holding company.

Interstate Banking and Branching. The Interstate Banking and Branching Act provides for nationwide interstate banking and branching. Under the law, interstate acquisitions of banks or bank holding companies in any state by bank holding companies in any other state are permissible subject to certain limitations. Florida also has a law that allows out-of-state bank holding companies (located in states that allow Florida bank holding companies to acquire banks and bank holding companies in that state) to acquire Florida banks and Florida bank holding companies. The law essentially provides for out-of-state entry by acquisition only (and not by interstate branching) and requires the acquired Florida bank to have been in existence for at least three years. Interstate branching and consolidation of existing bank subsidiaries in different states is permissible. A Florida bank also may establish, maintain, and operate one or more branches in a state other than Florida pursuant to an interstate merger transaction in which the Florida bank is the resulting bank.

Financial Modernization. The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 (the “GLB Act”) sought to achieve significant modernization of the federal bank regulatory framework by allowing the consolidation of banking institutions with other types of financial services firms, subject to various restrictions and requirements. In general, the GLB Act repealed most of the federal statutory barriers which separated commercial banking firms from insurance and securities firms and authorized the consolidation of such firms in a “financial services holding company.” We have no current plans to utilize the structural options created by the GLB Act.

Securities Regulation and Corporate Governance. OptimumBank Holdings’ common stock is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and we are subject to restrictions, reporting requirements and review procedures under federal securities laws and regulations. We are also subject to the rules and reporting requirements of the NASDAQ Global Market, on which our common stock is traded. Like other issuers of publicly traded securities, we must also comply with the corporate governance reforms enacted under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (“The Sarbanes-Oxley Act”) and the rules of the SEC and NASDAQ Stock Market adopted pursuant to the Sarbanes Oxley Act. Among other things, these reforms, effective as of various dates, require certification of financial statements by the chief executive officer and chief financial officer, prohibit the provision of specified services by independent auditors, require pre-approval of independent auditor services, define director independence and require certain committees, and a majority of a subject company’s board of directors, to consist of independent directors, establish additional disclosure requirements in reports filed with the SEC, require expedited filing of reports, require management evaluation and auditor attestation of internal controls, prohibit loans by the issuer (but not by certain depository institutions) to directors and officers, set record-keeping requirements, mandate complaint procedures for the reporting of accounting and audit concerns by employees, and establish penalties for non-compliance.

Bank Regulation

General. OptimumBank is chartered under the laws of the State of Florida, and its deposits are insured by the FDIC to the extent provided by law. OptimumBank is subject to comprehensive regulation, examination and supervision by the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation, or Florida OFR, and to other laws and regulations applicable to banks. Such regulations include limitations on loans to a single borrower and to its directors, officers and employees; limitations on the types of activities a state bank can conduct, restrictions on the opening and closing of branch offices; the maintenance of required capital ratios; the granting of credit under equal and fair conditions; and the disclosure of the costs and terms of such credit. OptimumBank is examined periodically by the FDIC and the Florida OFR, to whom it submits periodic reports regarding its financial condition and other matters. The FDIC and the Florida OFR have a broad range of powers to enforce regulations under their jurisdiction, and to take discretionary actions determined to be for the protection and safety and soundness of banks, including the institution of cease and desist orders and the removal of directors and officers. The FDIC and the Florida OFR also have the authority to approve or disapprove mergers, consolidations, and similar corporate actions.

Consent Order. On April 16, 2010, OptimumBank was required to enter into a Consent Order with the FDIC and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation. Under the Consent Order, the Bank is required to implement a number of corrective measures intended to improve the Bank’s condition, including a requirement to maintain certain minimum capital ratios. The Consent Order also contains significant operating restrictions. A description of the principal requirements of the Consent Order are contained in “Business- - Recent Developments- Consent Order”.

Capital Adequacy Requirements. Banks are required to maintain capital at adequate levels based on a percentage of assets and off-balance sheet exposures, adjusted for risk weights ranging from 0% to 100%. Under the risk-based standard, capital is classified into two tiers. Tier 1 capital consists of common shareholders’ equity (excluding the unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities), trust preferred securities subject to certain limitations, and minus certain intangible assets. Tier 2 capital consists of the general allowance for credit losses except for certain limitations. An institution’s qualifying capital base for purposes of its risk-based capital ratio consists of the sum of its Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital. The regulatory minimum requirements are 4% for Tier 1 and 8% for total risk-based capital. At December 31, 2010, our Tier 1 and total risk-based capital ratios were 5.43% and 6.7%, respectively.

Banks are also required to maintain capital at a minimum level based on total assets, which is known as the leverage ratio. The minimum requirement for the leverage ratio is 4%, but all but the highest rated institutions are required to maintain ratios 100 to 200 basis points above the minimum. At December 31, 2010, our leverage ratio was 4.02%.

The Consent Order imposes higher capital requirements on OptimumBank. Under the Consent Order, OptimumBank must maintain a Tier 1 Leverage Ratio of 8.0%, and a total risk based capital ratio of 12.0%. With a Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4.02% and a Total Risk Based Capital Ratio of 6.7% at December 31, 2010, the Bank did not meet the capital adequacy ratios as required by the Consent Order.

The FDIC Improvement Act of 1993 (“FDICIA”) contains “prompt corrective action” provisions pursuant to which banks are to be classified into one of five categories based upon capital adequacy, ranging from “well capitalized” to “critically undercapitalized” and which require (subject to certain exceptions) the appropriate federal banking agency to take prompt corrective action with respect to an institution which becomes “significantly undercapitalized” or “critically undercapitalized.”

The FDIC has issued regulations to implement the “prompt corrective action” provisions of FDICIA. In general, the regulations define the five capital categories as follows:

- an institution is “well capitalized” if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 10% or greater, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 6% or greater, has a leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to any written capital order or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measures;
- an institution is “adequately capitalized” if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of 8% or greater, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio of 4% or greater, and has a leverage ratio of 4% or greater;
- an institution is “undercapitalized” if it has a total risk-based capital ratio of less than 8%, has a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 4% or has a leverage ratio that is less than 4%;
- an institution is “significantly undercapitalized” if it has a total risk-based capital ratio that is less than 6%, a Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio that is less than 3% or a leverage ratio that is less than 3%; and
- an institution is “critically undercapitalized” if its “tangible equity” is equal to or less than 2% of its total assets.

The FDIC, after an opportunity for a hearing, has authority to downgrade an institution from “well capitalized” to “adequately capitalized” or to subject an “adequately capitalized” or “undercapitalized” institution to the supervisory actions applicable to the next lower category, for supervisory concerns.

Generally, FDICIA requires that an “undercapitalized” institution must submit an acceptable capital restoration plan to the appropriate federal banking agency within 45 days after the institution becomes “undercapitalized” and the agency must take action on the plan within 60 days. The appropriate federal banking agency may not accept a capital restoration plan unless, among other requirements, each company having control of the institution has guaranteed that the institution will comply with the plan until the institution has been adequately capitalized on average during each of the three consecutive calendar quarters and has provided adequate assurances of performance. The aggregate liability under this provision of all companies having control of an institution is limited to the lesser of:

- 5% of the institution’s total assets at the time the institution becomes “undercapitalized” or
- the amount which is necessary, or would have been necessary, to bring the institution into compliance with all capital standards applicable to the institution as of the time the institution fails to comply with the plan filed pursuant to FDICIA

An “undercapitalized” institution may not acquire an interest in any company or any other insured depository institution, establish or acquire additional branch offices or engage in any new business unless the appropriate federal banking agency has accepted its capital restoration plan, the institution is implementing the plan, and the agency determines that the proposed action is consistent with and will further the achievement of the plan, or the appropriate Federal banking agency determines the proposed action will further the purpose of the “prompt corrective action” sections of FDICIA.

If an institution is “critically undercapitalized,” it must comply with the restrictions described above. In addition, the appropriate Federal banking agency is authorized to restrict the activities of any “critically undercapitalized” institution and to prohibit such an institution, without the appropriate Federal banking agency’s prior written approval, from:

- entering into any material transaction other than in the usual course of business;
- engaging in any covered transaction with affiliates (as defined in Section 23A(b) of the Federal Reserve Act);
- paying excessive compensation or bonuses; and
- paying interest on new or renewed liabilities at a rate that would increase the institution’s weighted average costs of funds to a level significantly exceeding the prevailing rates of interest on insured deposits in the institution’s normal market areas.

The “prompt corrective action” provisions of FDICIA also provide that in general no institution may make a capital distribution if it would cause the institution to become “undercapitalized.” Capital distributions include cash (but not stock) dividends, stock purchases, redemptions, and other distributions of capital to the owners of an institution.

Additionally, FDICIA requires, among other things, that:

- only a “well capitalized” depository institution may accept brokered deposits without prior regulatory approval and
- the appropriate federal banking agency annually examine all insured depository institutions, with some exceptions for small, “well capitalized” institutions and state-chartered institutions examined by state regulators.

As of December 31, 2010, OptimumBank did not meet the capital requirements of an “adequately capitalized institution”, but rather met the FDIC definition of an “undercapitalized institution”.

For additional information regarding OptimumBank’s capital ratios and requirements, see “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations — Regulatory Capital Adequacy.”

Dividends. OptimumBank Holdings’ ability to pay dividends is substantially dependent on the ability of OptimumBank to pay dividends to OptimumBank Holdings. As a state chartered bank, OptimumBank is subject to dividend restrictions set by Florida law and the FDIC. Except with the prior approval of the Florida OFR, all dividends of any Florida bank must be paid out of retained net profits from the current period and the previous two years, after deducting expenses, including losses and bad debts. Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, an FDIC-insured institution may not pay any dividend if payment would cause it to become undercapitalized or while it is undercapitalized. The FDIC and the Florida OFR also have the general authority to limit the dividend payment by banks if such payment may be deemed to constitute an unsafe and unsound practice. The Bank’s ability to pay dividends is further restricted under the Consent Order and the Company’s ability to pay dividends is also restricted under its Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve. At December 31, 2010, the Bank and Company could not pay cash dividends.

Loans to One Borrower. Florida law generally allows a state bank such as OptimumBank to extend credit to any one borrower (and certain related entities of such borrower) in an amount up to 25% of its capital accounts, provided that the unsecured portion may not exceed 15% of the capital accounts of the bank. Based upon OptimumBank’s capital, the maximum loan OptimumBank is currently permitted to make is approximately \$1.9 million, provided the unsecured portion does not exceed approximately \$1.2 million.

Transactions with Affiliates. Under federal law, federally insured banks are subject, with certain exceptions, to certain restrictions on any extension of credit to their parent holding companies or other affiliates, on investment in the stock or other securities of affiliates, and on the taking of such stock or securities as collateral from any borrower. In addition, banks are prohibited from engaging in certain tie-in arrangements in connection with any extension of credit or the providing of any property or service.

Change of Bank Control. Florida law restricts the amount of voting stock of a bank that a person may acquire without the prior approval of banking regulators. The overall effect of such laws is to make it more difficult to acquire a bank by tender offer or similar means than it might be to acquire control of another type of corporation. Consequently, shareholders of financial institutions are less likely to benefit from the rapid increases in stock prices that often result from tender offers or similar efforts to acquire control of other companies.

Under Florida law, no person or group of persons may, directly or indirectly or acting by or through one or more persons, purchase or acquire a controlling interest in any bank which would result in the change in control of that bank unless the Florida OFR first shall have approved such proposed acquisition. A person or group will be deemed to have acquired “control” of a bank (i) if the person or group, directly or indirectly or acting by or through one, or more other persons, owns, controls, or has power to vote 25% or more of any class of voting securities of the bank, or controls in any manner the election of a majority of the directors of the bank, or (ii) if the Florida OFR determines that such person exercises a controlling influence over the management or policies of the bank. In any case where a proposed purchase of voting securities would give rise to a presumption of control, the person or group who proposes to purchase the securities must first file written notice of the proposal to the Florida OFR for its review and approval. Subsections 658.27(2)I and 658.28(3), Florida Statutes, refer to a potential change of control of a financial institution at a 10% or more threshold and rebuttable presumption of control. Accordingly, the name of any subscriber acquiring more than 10% of the voting securities of OptimumBank must be submitted to the Florida OFR for prior approval.

USA Patriot Act. The USA Patriot Act was enacted after September 11, 2001 to provide the federal government with powers to prevent, detect, and prosecute terrorism and international money laundering, and has resulted in promulgation of several regulations that have a direct impact on banks. There are a number of programs that financial institutions must have in place such as: (i) Bank Secrecy Act/Anti-Money Laundering programs to manage risk; (ii) Customer Identification Programs to determine the true identity of customers, document and verify the information, and determine whether the customer appears on any federal government list of known or suspected terrorist or terrorist organizations; and (iii) monitoring for the timely detection and reporting of suspicious activity and reportable transactions. Over the past few years, enforcement, and compliance monitoring, of these anti-money laundering laws has dramatically increased. As a result, we have increased the attention and resources we dedicate to compliance with these laws.

Other Consumer Laws. Florida usury laws and federal laws concerning interest rates limit the amount of interest and various other charges collected or contracted by a bank. OptimumBank's loans are also subject to federal laws applicable to consumer credit transactions, such as the:

- Federal Truth-In-Lending Act governing disclosures of credit terms to consumer borrowers;
- Community Reinvestment Act requiring financial institutions to meet their obligations to provide for the total credit needs of the communities they serve, including investing their assets in loans to low and moderate-income borrowers;
- Home Mortgage Disclosure Act requiring financial institutions to provide information to enable public officials to determine whether a financial institution is fulfilling its obligations to meet the housing needs of the community it serves;
- Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, creed or other prohibitive factors in extending credit;
- Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act which requires lenders to disclose certain information regarding the nature and cost of real estate settlements, and prohibits certain lending practices, as well as limits escrow account amounts in real estate transactions;
- Fair Debt Collection Act governing the manner in which consumer debts may be collected by collection agencies;
- Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act which establishes additional rights for consumers to obtain and correct credit reports, addresses identity theft, and establishes additional requirements for consumer reporting agencies and financial institutions that provide adverse credit information to a consumer reporting agency; and
- The rules and regulations of various federal agencies charged with the responsibility of implementing such federal laws.

OptimumBank's deposit and loan operations are also subject to the:

- The Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act of 1999 privacy provisions, which require us to maintain privacy policies intended to safeguard consumer financial information, to disclose these policies to our customers, and allow customers to "opt-out" of having their financial service providers disclose their confidential financial information to non-affiliated third parties, subject to certain exceptions;
- Right to Financial Privacy Act, which imposes a duty to maintain confidentiality of consumer financial records and prescribes procedures for complying with administrative subpoenas of financial records; and
- Electronic Funds Transfer Act and Regulation E, which govern automatic deposits to, and withdrawals from, deposit accounts and customers' rights and liabilities arising from the use of automated teller machines and other electronic banking services.

Other Regulation

Enforcement Powers. Congress has provided the federal bank regulatory agencies with an array of powers to enforce laws, rules, regulations and orders. Among other things, the agencies may require that institutions cease and desist from certain activities, may preclude persons from participating in the affairs of insured depository institutions, may suspend or remove deposit insurance, and may impose civil money penalties against institution-affiliated parties for certain violations.

Community Redevelopment Act. Bank holding companies and their subsidiary banks are subject to the provisions of the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 (“CRA”) and the regulations promulgated thereunder by the appropriate bank regulatory agency. Under the terms of the CRA, the appropriate federal bank regulatory agency is required, in connection with its examination of a bank, to assess such bank’s record in meeting the credit needs of the community served by that bank, including low- and moderate-income neighborhoods. The regulatory agency’s assessment of the Bank’s record is made available to the public. Further, such assessment is required of any bank which has applied to charter a bank, obtain deposit insurance coverage for a newly chartered institution, establish a new branch office that will accept deposits, relocate an office, or merge or consolidate with, or acquire the assets or assume the liabilities of, a federally regulated financial institution. In the case of a bank holding company applying for approval to acquire a bank or other bank holding company, the Federal Reserve will assess the record of each subsidiary bank of the applicant bank holding company, and such records may be the basis for denying the application.

Effect of Governmental Monetary Policies. Our earnings are affected by domestic economic conditions and the monetary and fiscal policies of the United States government and its agencies. The Federal Reserve monetary policies have had, and will likely continue to have, an important impact on the operating results of financial institutions through its power to implement national monetary policy in order, among other things, to curb inflation or combat a recession. The monetary policies of the Federal Reserve have major effects upon the levels of loans, investments and deposits through its open market operations in United States Government securities and through its regulation of the discount rate on borrowings of member banks and the reserve requirement against member bank deposits. It is not possible to predict the nature or impact of future changes in monetary and fiscal policies.

Statistical Profile and Other Financial Data

Reference is hereby made to the statistical and financial data contained in the sections captioned “Selected Financial Data” and “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations,” for statistical and financial data providing a review of our business activities.

Item 2. Properties

The following table sets forth information with respect to our main office and branch offices as of December 31, 2010.

<u>Location</u>	<u>Year Facility Opened</u>	<u>Facility Status</u>
<u>Executive Office</u>		
2477 East Commercial Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308	2004	Owned
<u>Branch Offices</u>		
10197 Cleary Boulevard Plantation, Florida 33324	2000	Owned
3524 North Ocean Boulevard Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33308	2003	Leased (1)
2215 West Hillsboro Boulevard Deerfield Beach, Florida 22442	2004	Leased (2)

(1) On February 1, 2007, OptimumBank entered into a sale/leaseback transaction for this facility. No gain or loss was recognized on this transaction. The lease is for a seven-year term. The monthly lease payment at December 31, 2010 was \$4,444. The tenant is responsible for maintenance and real estate taxes.

(2) Lease is for a ten-year term, with two five-year options to renew, for 2,500 square feet. The monthly lease payment at December 31, 2010 was \$6,642.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

From time-to-time, we are involved in litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business. As of the date of the filing of this Form 10-K, we are of the opinion that the ultimate aggregate liability represented thereby, if any, will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters

Our common stock currently trades on the NASDAQ Capital Market, under the symbol "OPHC." The table below presents the high and low sales prices for the periods indicated. Sales prices have been adjusted to reflect the 4-for-1 reverse stock split effective November 5, 2010.

<u>Year</u>	<u>Quarter</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
2009	First	\$22.40	\$12.72
	Second	\$23.96	\$11.88
	Third	\$20.00	\$10.80
	Fourth	\$12.60	\$ 5.00
2010	First	\$11.00	\$ 3.36
	Second	\$ 7.28	\$ 1.40
	Third	\$ 3.92	\$ 1.32
	Fourth	\$ 6.49	\$ 1.75

We had approximately 471 holders registered or in street name as of December 31,

On January 10, 2011, the Nasdaq Stock Market notified us that we failed to comply with the NASDAQ Listing Rule requiring the board of directors to have a majority of members who are independent because only three of the directors on our six-member board were independent. We have been provided until (a) the earlier of the Company's next annual shareholders' meeting or January 6, 2012; or (b) if the next annual shareholders' meeting is held before July 5, 2011, no later than July 5, 2011 to comply with the independent director requirement. If we are unable to comply with the independent director requirement by the deadline, our common stock will be delisted from the NASDAQ Capital Market.

At December 31, 2010, the Bank and Company could not pay cash dividends and the Company does not anticipate that it will pay dividends on its common stock in the foreseeable future. Banking regulations place certain restrictions on dividends and loans or advances made by the Bank to the Company. The amount of cash dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Company is based on the Bank's net earnings of the current year combined with the Bank's retained earnings of the preceding two years, as defined by state banking regulations. However, for any dividend declaration, the Company must consider additional factors such as the amount of current period net earnings, liquidity, asset quality, capital adequacy and economic conditions. It is likely that these factors would further limit the amount of dividends which the Company could declare. Furthermore, the Bank's ability to pay dividends is restricted under the Consent Order issued by the FDIC and Florida Office of Financial Regulation and banking laws. The Company's ability to pay dividends is also restricted under its Written Agreement with the Federal Reserve.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

At December 31, or for the Year Then Ended
(Dollars in thousands, except per share figures)

	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
At Year End:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,367	36,784	3,220	701	1,604
Securities held to maturity	51,057	81,141	82,208	58,471	33,399
Security available for sale	—	—	244	244	241
Loans, net	113,542	134,126	160,699	173,323	181,878
All other assets	11,339	17,906	9,369	8,808	8,581
Total assets	\$190,305	269,957	255,740	241,547	225,703
Deposit accounts	148,238	151,682	114,925	125,034	129,502
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	31,700	57,700	68,700	56,850	56,550
Other borrowings	—	41,800	41,800	28,900	10,950
Junior subordinated debenture	5,155	5,155	5,155	5,155	5,155
All other liabilities	2,377	2,332	2,395	3,361	3,123
Stockholders' equity	2,835	11,288	22,765	22,247	20,423
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$190,305	269,957	255,740	241,547	225,703
For the Year:					
Total interest income	8,787	14,006	15,570	16,137	14,191
Total interest expense	4,867	8,351	9,211	9,700	8,063
Net interest income	3,920	5,655	6,359	6,437	6,128
Provision for loan losses	3,645	15,794	1,374	476	265
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan losses	275	(10,139)	4,985	5,961	5,863
Noninterest income (expense)	1,394	(145)	393	533	628
Noninterest expenses	9,773	4,698	4,545	3,749	3,574
(Loss) earnings before income taxes (benefit)	(8,104)	(14,982)	833	2,745	2,917
Income taxes expense (benefit)	349	(3,501)	313	1,003	1,083
Net (loss) earnings	\$ (8,453)	(11,481)	520	1,742	1,834
Net (loss) earnings per share, basic (1)	\$ (10.32)	(14.01)	.63	2.13	2.25
Net (loss) earnings per share, diluted (1)	\$ (10.32)	(14.01)	.63	2.08	2.16
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, basic (1)	819,358	819,358	819,261	816,960	814,106
Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (1)	819,358	819,358	830,608	835,995	848,825
Ratios and Other Data:					
Return on average assets	(3.84)%	(4.23)%	.21%	.73%	.85%
Return on average equity	(127.59)%	(55.55)%	2.26%	8.16%	9.37%
Average equity to average assets	3.01%	7.62%	9.15%	8.96%	9.12%
Net interest margin during the year	1.88%	2.14%	2.61%	2.78%	2.96%
Interest-rate differential during the year	1.87%	1.91%	2.26%	2.34%	2.63%
Net yield on average interest-earning assets	4.21%	5.30%	6.38%	6.96%	6.85%
Noninterest expenses to average assets	4.44%	1.73%	1.81%	1.57%	1.67%
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities	1.00	1.07	1.09	1.10	1.08
Nonperforming loans and foreclosed assets as a percentage of total assets at end of year	19.82%	10.87%	2.03%	0.13%	—

Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans at end of year	3.16%	6.54%	1.18%	.40%	.54%
Total number of banking offices	3	3	3	3	3
Total shares outstanding at end of year (1)	819,358	819,358	780,248	780,283	777,340
Book value per share at end of year (1)	\$ 3.46	13.78	29.17	28.52	26.27

(1) All share and per share amounts have been adjusted to reflect the 1-for-4 reverse stock split declared in October 2010 and 5% stock dividends declared in May 2009, 2008 and 2007.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

General

OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. was formed in 2004 as a Florida corporation to serve as a one-bank holding company for OptimumBank and acquired all of the shares of OptimumBank in May 2004. Our only business is the ownership and operation of OptimumBank and its subsidiaries. OptimumBank is a Florida chartered bank which opened in November 2000, and its deposits are insured by the FDIC. The Bank provides community banking services to individuals and businesses in Broward, Miami-Dade and Palm Beach counties. OptimumBank conducts operations from its Fort Lauderdale headquarters and three branch offices in Fort Lauderdale, Plantation and Deerfield Beach. The Bank's wholly-owned subsidiaries are OB Real Estate Management, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings, LLC and OB Real Estate Holding 1503, LLC, all of which were formed in 2009, and OB Real Estate Holdings 1695, OB Real Estate Holdings 1669, OB Real Estate Holdings 1645, and OB Real Estate Holdings 1565, all formed in 2010. OB Real Estate Management, LLC is primarily engaged in managing foreclosed real estate, but had no activity in 2010. All other bank subsidiaries are primarily engaged in holding and disposing of foreclosed real estate.

At December 31, 2010, our company had total assets of \$190.3 million, net loans of \$113.5 million, total deposits of \$148.2 million and stockholders' equity of \$2.8 million. During 2010, our company had a net loss of \$8.5 million.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our financial condition and results of operations are sensitive to accounting measurements and estimates of matters that are inherently uncertain. When applying accounting policies in areas that are subjective in nature, we must use our best judgment to arrive at the carrying value of certain assets. One of the most critical accounting policies applied by us is related to the valuation of our loan portfolio.

A variety of estimates impact the carrying value of our loan portfolio including the calculation of the allowance for loan losses, valuation of underlying collateral, the timing of loan charge-offs and the amount and amortization of loan fees and deferred origination costs.

The calculation of the allowance for loan losses is a complex process containing estimates which are inherently subjective and susceptible to significant revision as current information becomes available. The allowance is established and maintained at a level we believe is adequate to cover losses resulting from the inability of borrowers to make required payments on loans. Estimates for loan losses are determined by analyzing risks associated with specific loans and the loan portfolio, current trends in delinquencies and charge-offs, the views of our regulators, changes in the size and composition of the loan portfolio and peer comparisons. The analysis also requires consideration of the economic climate and direction, changes in the economic and interest rate environment which may impact a borrower's ability to pay, legislation impacting the banking industry and economic conditions specific to the tri-county region we serve in Southeast Florida. Because the calculation of the allowance for loan losses relies on our estimates and judgments relating to inherently uncertain events, results may differ from management's estimates.

The allowance for loan losses is also discussed as part of "Loan Portfolio, Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses" and in Note 3 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Our significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 of Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Regulation and Legislation

As a state-chartered commercial bank, the Bank is subject to extensive regulation by the Florida Office of Financial Regulation, or Florida OFR, and the FDIC. We file reports with the Florida OFR and the FDIC concerning our activities and financial condition, in addition to obtaining regulatory approvals prior to entering into certain transactions such as mergers with or acquisitions of other financial institutions. Periodic examinations are performed by the Florida OFR and the FDIC to monitor our compliance with the various regulatory requirements. The Company is also subject to regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors.

Loan Portfolio, Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses

Our primary business is making real estate loans. This activity may subject us to potential loan losses, the magnitude of which depends on a variety of economic factors affecting borrowers which are beyond our control. For the past several years, there has been a dramatic decrease in housing and real estate values in south Florida, coupled with a significant increase in the rate of unemployment. With most of our loans concentrated in south Florida, the decline in local economic conditions has adversely affected the values of our real estate collateral. These trends have contributed to an increase in our impaired loans and reduced asset quality. As of December 31, 2010, our impaired loans were approximately \$44.6 million, or 39.3% of the net loan portfolio. Impaired loans and real estate owned were approximately \$47.9 million as of this same date, or 25.1% of total assets. If market conditions continue to deteriorate, they may lead to additional valuation adjustments on our loan portfolio and real estate owned as we continue to reassess the market value of our loan portfolio, the losses associated with impaired loans, and the net realizable value of real estate owned.

The following table sets forth the composition of our loan portfolio:

	At December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
	(dollars in thousands)					
Residential real estate	\$ 40,130	34.27%	\$ 55,915	39.06%	\$ 58,693	36.25%
Multi-family real estate	4,213	3.60	5,162	3.61	9,588	5.92
Commercial real estate	55,119	47.07	58,901	41.14	73,541	45.42
Land and construction	17,292	14.77	22,355	15.61	19,223	11.87
Consumer	358	.29	836	.58	878	.54
Total loans	117,112	<u>100.00%</u>	143,169	<u>100.00%</u>	161,923	<u>100.00%</u>
Add (deduct):						
Allowance for loan losses	(3,703)		(9,363)		(1,906)	
Net deferred loan costs and discounts	133		320		682	
Loans, net	<u>\$113,542</u>		<u>\$134,126</u>		<u>\$160,699</u>	

	At December 31,			
	2007		2006	
	Amount	% of Total	Amount	% of Total
	(dollars in thousands)			
Residential real estate	\$ 65,908	38.08%	\$ 70,868	38.99%
Multi-family real estate	10,275	5.94	10,769	5.93
Commercial real estate	75,777	43.78	68,852	37.89
Land and construction	21,093	12.19	31,022	17.07
Consumer	15	.01	227	.12
Total loans	173,068	<u>100.00%</u>	181,738	<u>100.00%</u>
Add (deduct):				
Allowance for loan losses	(692)		(974)	
Net deferred loan costs and discounts	947		1,114	
Loans, net	<u>\$173,323</u>		<u>\$181,878</u>	

The following table sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,				
	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Beginning balance	\$ 9,363	\$ 1,906	\$ 692	\$ 974	\$ 777
Provision for loan losses	3,645	15,794	1,374	476	265
Loans charged off	(9,424)	(8,337)	(160)	(758)	(68)
Recoveries	119	—	—	—	—
Ending balance	<u>\$ 3,703</u>	<u>\$ 9,363</u>	<u>\$ 1,906</u>	<u>\$ 692</u>	<u>\$ 974</u>

The allowance for loan losses represents our estimate of probable incurred losses inherent in the existing loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses is increased by the provision for loan losses charged to expense and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. The allowance for loan losses represented 3.16% and 6.54% of the total loans outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

We evaluate the allowance for loan losses on a regular basis. The allowance for loan losses is determined based on our periodic review of several factors: reviews and evaluation of individual loans, historical loan loss experiences, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and current economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of two components. The first component consists of amounts specifically reserved ("specific allowance") for specific loans identified as impaired, as defined by FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 310 ("ASC 310"). Impaired loans are those loans that management has estimated will not repay as agreed upon. We measure impairment on a loan by loan basis for all our loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. A loan may be impaired (i.e. not expected to repay as agreed), but may be sufficiently collateralized such that we expect to recover all principal and interest eventually, and therefore no specific reserve is warranted.

The second component is a general reserve (“general allowance”) on all of our loans other than those identified as impaired. We group these loans into categories with similar characteristics and then apply a loss factor to each group which is derived from our historical loss experience for that category adjusted for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and other trends or uncertainties that could affect management’s estimate of probable loss. The aggregate of these two components results in our total allowance for loan losses.

The following table sets forth our allowance for loan losses by loan type (dollars in thousands):

	At December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	% of Total Loans	Amount	% of Total Loans	Amount	% of Total Loans
Residential real estate	\$1,285	34.27%	\$2,049	39.06%	\$ 928	36.25%
Multi-family real estate	282	3.60	489	3.61	62	5.92
Commercial real estate	1,542	47.07	1,466	41.14	463	45.42
Land and construction	514	14.77	5,227	15.61	444	11.87
Consumer	80	.29	132	.58	9	.54
Total allowance for loan losses	<u>\$3,703</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$9,363</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$1,906</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans outstanding	<u>3.16%</u>		<u>6.54%</u>		<u>1.18%</u>	

	At December 31,			
	2007		2006	
	Amount	% of Total Loans	Amount	% of Total Loans
Residential real estate	\$ 187	38.08%	\$ 400	38.99%
Multi-family real estate	59	5.94	54	5.93
Commercial real estate	379	43.78	406	37.89
Land and construction	67	12.19	114	17.07
Consumer	—	.01	—	.12
Total allowance for loan losses	<u>\$ 692</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$ 974</u>	<u>100.00%</u>
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans outstanding	<u>0.40%</u>		<u>0.54%</u>	

The following summarizes impaired loans (in thousands):

	At December 31,	
	2010	2009
Collateral-dependent loans identified as impaired:		
Gross loans with no related allowance for losses	\$35,531	\$21,846
Gross loans with related allowance for losses recorded	669	18,032
Less allowances on these loans	(75)	(5,542)
Net loans with related allowance	594	12,490
Net investment in collateral-dependent impaired loans	36,125	34,336
Noncollateral-dependent loans identified as impaired:		
Gross loans with no related allowance for losses	7,347	—
Gross loans with related allowance for losses recorded	1,174	3,623
Less allowance on these loans	(11)	(65)
Net loans with related allowance	1,163	3,558
Net investment in noncollateral-dependent impaired loans	8,510	3,558
Net investment in impaired loans	\$44,635	\$37,894

During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the average net investment in impaired loans and interest income recognized and received on impaired loans is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2010	2009	2008
Average investment in impaired loans	\$33,987	\$25,017	\$3,240
Interest income recognized on impaired loans	\$ 313	\$ 280	\$ —
Interest income received on a cash basis on impaired loans	\$ 658	\$ 584	\$ —

At December 31, 2006, the Company had no nonaccrual loans or loans over 90 days past due still accruing interest. Nonaccrual and past due loans were as follows as of December 31, 2010, 2009, 2008 and 2007 (in thousands):

	At December 31,			
	2010	2009	2008	2007
Nonaccrual loans	\$34,530	\$23,848	\$5,086	\$245
Past ninety days or more, but still accruing interest	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity represents an institution's ability to meet current and future obligations through liquidation or maturity of existing assets or the acquisition of additional liabilities. Our ability to respond to the needs of depositors and borrowers and to benefit from investment opportunities is facilitated through liquidity management.

Our primary sources of cash during the year ended December 31, 2010 were from principal repayments of securities held to maturity of \$11.4 million, proceeds from the sale of securities held to maturity of \$44.9 million and net repayments of loans of \$16.7 million. Cash was used primarily to purchase securities held to maturity totaling \$24.3 million and repayment of Federal Home Loan Bank advances and repurchase agreements of \$71.5 million. In order to increase our core deposits, we have priced our deposit rates competitively. We will adjust rates on our deposits to attract or retain deposits as needed. In addition to obtaining funds from depositors in our market area, from time to time we have utilized brokers to obtain deposits outside our market area.

In addition to obtaining funds from depositors, we may borrow funds from other financial institutions. We are a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, which allows us to borrow funds under a pre-arranged line of credit equal to \$31.7 million. As of December 31, 2010, we had \$31.7 million in borrowings outstanding from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta to facilitate loan fundings and manage our asset and liability structure.

Securities

Our securities portfolio is comprised primarily of mortgage-backed securities. The securities portfolio is categorized as either “held to maturity” or “available for sale.” Securities held to maturity represent those securities which we have the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity. These securities are carried at amortized cost. Securities available for sale represent those investments which may be sold for various reasons including changes in interest rates and liquidity considerations. These securities are reported at fair market value and unrealized gains and losses are excluded from earnings and reported in other comprehensive income.

The following table sets forth the amortized cost and fair value of our securities portfolio (in thousands):

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
<i>At December 31, 2010:</i>		
Securities held to maturity:		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 50,957	\$48,739
Foreign bond	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>\$ 51,057</u>	<u>\$48,839</u>
<i>At December 31, 2009:</i>		
Securities held to maturity:		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 81,041	\$76,884
Foreign bond	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>\$ 81,141</u>	<u>\$76,984</u>
<i>At December 31, 2008:</i>		
Securities held to maturity:		
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 82,108	\$78,656
Foreign bond	<u>100</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>\$ 82,208</u>	<u>\$78,756</u>
Security available for sale-		
Mutual fund	<u>\$ 250</u>	<u>\$ 244</u>

The following table sets forth, by maturity distribution, certain information pertaining to the securities portfolio (dollars in thousands):

	Within One Year	After One But Within Five Years	After Five Years Through Ten Years	After Ten Years	Total	Yield
<i>At December 31, 2010:</i>						
Mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$ 4,001</u>	<u>\$ 5,988</u>	<u>\$ 1,887</u>	<u>\$39,081</u>	<u>\$50,957</u>	<u>4.25%</u>
Foreign bond	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>5.95%</u>
<i>At December 31, 2009:</i>						
Mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$11,576</u>	<u>\$69,465</u>	<u>\$81,041</u>	<u>5.39%</u>
Foreign bond	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>5.95%</u>
<i>At December 31, 2008:</i>						
Mortgage-backed securities	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 1,604</u>	<u>\$80,504</u>	<u>\$82,108</u>	<u>5.41%</u>
Foreign bond	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 100</u>	<u>5.95%</u>

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company sold 22 held to maturity securities in order to downsize and deleverage its balance sheet, recognizing net gains of \$1.35 million. This action was taken in an effort to comply with a significant increase in the regulatory capital requirements imposed on the Bank under the Consent Order issued by the FDIC and Florida Office of Financial Regulation

At December 31, 2010, \$24.0 million of the \$51.0 million in mortgage-backed securities (“MBS”) were U.S. agency MBS and \$27.0 million were private label MBS. Approximately \$24.2 million of the private label MBS at December 31, 2010 were rated sub-investment grade securities by the securities rating agencies. In general, non-investment grade securities cannot be used to collateralize borrowings and are considered to be substandard assets by the Federal regulatory agencies.

Regulatory Capital Adequacy

Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can result in certain mandatory and, possibly, additional discretionary actions by federal and state regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial condition and results of operations. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. In addition, the Consent Order imposes increased minimum capital requirements on the Bank.

Quantitative measures established by regulation and by the Consent Order to ensure capital adequacy require us to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the following table) of Total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets and Tier 1 capital to average assets. As of December 31, 2010, we did not meet the minimum applicable capital adequacy requirements. See “Supervision and Regulation – Bank Regulation- Capital Adequacy Requirements.”

As of December 31, 2010, our actual and required minimum capital ratios were as follows (in thousands):

REGULATORY CAPITAL REQUIREMENTS

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		Requirements of Consent Order	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
As of December 31, 2010:								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$ 9,639	6.70%	\$ 11,513	8.00%	\$14,392	10.00%	\$17,270	12.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	7,817	5.43	5,757	4.00	8,635	6.00	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	7,817	4.02	7,786	4.00	9,733	5.00	15,572	8.00
As of December 31, 2009:								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	18,342	10.23	14,349	8.00	17,937	10.00	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	16,012	8.93	7,175	4.00	10,762	6.00	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	16,012	5.85	10,952	4.00	13,690	5.00	N/A	N/A
As of December 31, 2008:								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets			\$29,357	18.91%	\$ 12,419	8.00%	\$15,524	10.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets			27,451	17.68	6,210	4.00	9,314	6.00
Tier I Capital to Total Assets			27,451	10.71	10,254	4.00	12,818	5.00

Market Risk

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and rates. Our market risk arises primarily from interest-rate risk inherent in our lending and deposit-taking activities. We do not engage in securities trading or hedging activities and do not invest in interest-rate derivatives or enter into interest rate swaps.

We may utilize financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. The measurement of market risk associated with financial instruments is meaningful only when all related and offsetting on- and off-balance-sheet transactions are aggregated, and the resulting net positions are identified. Disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments, which reflect changes in market prices and rates, can be found in Note 9 of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Our primary objective in managing interest-rate risk is to minimize the potential adverse impact of changes in interest rates on our net interest income and capital, while adjusting our asset-liability structure to obtain the maximum yield-cost spread on that structure. We actively monitor and manage our interest-rate risk exposure by managing our asset and liability structure. However, a sudden and substantial increase in interest rates may adversely impact our earnings, to the extent that the interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities do not change or reprice at the same speed, to the same extent, or on the same basis.

We use modeling techniques to simulate changes in net interest income under various rate scenarios. Important elements of these techniques include the mix of floating versus fixed-rate assets and liabilities, and the scheduled, as well as expected, repricing and maturing volumes and rates of the existing balance sheet.

Asset Liability Management

As part of our asset and liability management, we have emphasized establishing and implementing internal asset-liability decision processes, as well as control procedures to aid in managing our earnings. Management believes that these processes and procedures provide us with better capital planning, asset mix and volume controls, loan-pricing guidelines, and deposit interest-rate guidelines, which should result in tighter controls and less exposure to interest-rate risk.

The matching of assets and liabilities may be analyzed by examining the extent to which such assets and liabilities are “interest rate sensitive” and by monitoring an institution’s interest rate sensitivity “gap.” An asset or liability is said to be interest rate sensitive within a specific time period if it will mature or reprice within that time period. The interest-rate sensitivity gap is defined as the difference between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within a given time period. The gap ratio is computed as the amount of rate sensitive assets less the amount of rate sensitive liabilities divided by total assets. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest-rate sensitive assets exceeds interest-rate sensitive liabilities. A gap is considered negative when the amount of interest-rate sensitive liabilities exceeds interest-rate sensitive assets. During a period of rising interest rates, a negative gap would adversely affect net interest income, while a positive gap would result in an increase in net interest income. During a period of falling interest rates, a negative gap would result in an increase in net interest income, while a positive gap would adversely affect net interest income.

In order to minimize the potential for adverse effects of material and prolonged increases in interest rates on the results of operations, our management continues to monitor our assets and liabilities to better match the maturities and repricing terms of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Our policies emphasize the origination of adjustable-rate loans, building a stable core deposit base and, to the extent possible, matching deposit maturities with loan repricing timeframes or maturities.

The following table sets forth certain information relating to our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities at December 31, 2010, that are estimated to mature or are scheduled to reprice within the period shown (dollars in thousands):

GAP MATURITY / REPRICING SCHEDULE

	<u>One Year or Less</u>	<u>More than One Year and Less than Five Years</u>	<u>More than Five Years and Less than Fifteen Years</u>	<u>Over Fifteen Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
Loans (1):					
Residential real estate loans	\$ 29,194	\$ 10,936	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 40,130
Multi-family real estate loans	3,480	653	—	80	4,213
Commercial real estate loans	40,905	13,254	960	—	55,119
Land and construction	12,674	4,325	293	—	17,292
Consumer loans	<u>150</u>	<u>208</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>358</u>
Total loans	86,403	29,376	1,253	80	117,112
Federal funds sold	13,154	—	—	—	13,154
Securities (2)	4,001	5,988	21,207	19,861	51,057
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	<u>3,173</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>3,173</u>
Total rate-sensitive assets	<u>106,731</u>	<u>35,364</u>	<u>22,460</u>	<u>19,941</u>	<u>184,496</u>
Deposit accounts (3):					
Money-market deposits	33,854	—	—	—	33,854
Interest-bearing checking deposits	986	—	—	—	986
Savings deposits	1,814	—	—	—	1,814
Time deposits	<u>87,919</u>	<u>23,356</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>111,275</u>
Total deposits	124,573	23,356	—	—	147,929
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	—	11,500	20,200	—	31,700
Junior subordinated debenture	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>5,155</u>	<u>5,155</u>
Total rate-sensitive liabilities	<u>124,573</u>	<u>34,856</u>	<u>20,200</u>	<u>5,155</u>	<u>184,784</u>
GAP (repricing differences)	<u>\$ (17,842)</u>	<u>\$ 508</u>	<u>\$ 2,260</u>	<u>\$ 14,786</u>	<u>\$ (288)</u>
Cumulative GAP	<u>\$ (17,842)</u>	<u>\$ (17,334)</u>	<u>\$ (15,074)</u>	<u>\$ (288)</u>	
Cumulative GAP/total assets	<u>(9.38)%</u>	<u>(9.11)%</u>	<u>(7.92)%</u>	<u>(.15)%</u>	

(1) In preparing the table above, adjustable-rate loans are included in the period in which the interest rates are next scheduled to adjust rather than in the period in which the loans mature. Fixed-rate loans are scheduled, including repayment, according to their maturities.

(2) Securities are scheduled through the repricing date.

(3) Money-market, interest-bearing checking and savings deposits are regarded as readily accessible withdrawable accounts. All other time deposits are scheduled through the maturity dates.

The following table sets forth loan maturities by type of loan at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<u>One Year or Less</u>	<u>After One But Within Five Years</u>	<u>After Five Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
Residential real estate	\$ 2,865	\$ 2,360	\$ 34,905	\$ 40,130
Multi-family real estate	—	—	4,213	4,213
Commercial real estate	9,162	7,461	38,496	55,119
Land and construction	2,531	668	14,093	17,292
Consumer	150	208	—	358
Total	<u>\$14,708</u>	<u>\$ 10,697</u>	<u>\$ 91,707</u>	<u>\$117,112</u>

The following table sets forth the maturity or repricing of loans by interest type at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<u>One Year or Less</u>	<u>After One But Within Five Years</u>	<u>After Five Years</u>	<u>Total</u>
Fixed interest rate	\$14,557	\$ 5,405	\$ 254	\$ 20,216
Variable interest rate	5,442	—	91,454	96,896
Total	<u>\$19,999</u>	<u>\$ 5,405</u>	<u>\$ 91,708</u>	<u>\$117,112</u>

Scheduled contractual principal repayments of loans do not reflect the actual life of such assets. The average life of loans is substantially less than their average contractual terms due to prepayments. In addition, due-on-sale clauses on loans generally give us the right to declare a conventional loan immediately due and payable in the event, among other things, that the borrower sells real property subject to a mortgage and the loan is not repaid. The average life of mortgage loans tends to increase, however, when current mortgage loan rates are substantially higher than rates on existing mortgage loans and, conversely, decrease when rates on existing mortgages are substantially higher than current mortgage rates.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Aggregate Contractual Obligations

We are party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of our customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit. At December 31, 2010, we had no outstanding commitments to originate real estate loans. These instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contractual amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of the Company's involvement in particular classes of financial instruments.

Our exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. We use the same credit policies in making commitments as we do for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed-expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since certain commitments expire without being drawn upon, the total committed amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. We evaluate each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if we deem it necessary in order to extend credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty.

The following is a summary of the Bank's contractual obligations, including certain on-balance sheet obligations, at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

Contractual Obligations	Payments Due by Period				
	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	\$31,700	\$ —	\$11,500	\$—	\$20,200
Junior subordinated debenture	5,155	—	—	—	5,155
Operating leases	297	133	164	—	—
Total	<u>\$37,152</u>	<u>\$ 133</u>	<u>\$11,664</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$25,355</u>

Deposits

Deposits traditionally are the primary source of funds for our use in lending, making investments and meeting liquidity demands. We have focused on raising time deposits primarily within our market area, which is the tri-county area of Broward, Miami-Dade and Palm Beach counties. However, we offer a variety of deposit products, which we promote within our market area. Net deposits decreased \$3.4 million in 2010 and increased \$36.8 million in 2009.

We have used brokered deposits to facilitate mortgage loan fundings in circumstances when larger than anticipated loan volumes occur and there is limited time to fund the additional loan demand through traditional deposit solicitation. In general, brokered deposits can be obtained in one to three days. The rates paid on these deposits are typically equal to or slightly less than the high end of the interest rates in our market area. Brokered deposits amounted to \$1.7 million and \$13.9 million as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. We have reduced our reliance on brokered deposits which are considered a more volatile source of funding by no longer accepting or rolling over existing brokered deposits.

The following table displays the distribution of the Bank's deposits at December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

	At December 31,					
	2010		2009		2008	
	Amount	% of Deposits	Amount	% of Deposits	Amount	% of Deposits
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	\$ 309	.21%	\$ 199	.13%	\$ 90	.08%
Interest-bearing demand deposits	986	.67	1,157	.76	1,464	1.27
Money-market deposits	33,854	22.83	41,204	27.16	28,009	24.37
Savings	1,814	1.22	1,861	1.24	1,195	1.04
Subtotal	<u>36,963</u>	<u>24.93</u>	<u>44,421</u>	<u>29.29</u>	<u>30,758</u>	<u>26.76</u>
Time deposits:						
0.00% – 0.99%	9,046	6.10	\$ 8,056	5.31%	\$ —	— %
1.00% – 1.99%	83,601	56.40	35,524	23.42	—	—
2.00% – 2.99%	15,455	10.43	52,719	34.76	7,696	6.70
3.00% – 3.99%	987	.67	2,568	1.69	39,678	34.53
4.00% – 4.99%	1,460	.98	7,562	4.99	35,627	31.00
5.00% – 5.99%	726	.49	810	.53	1,146	1.00
6.00% – 6.99%	—	—	22	.01	20	.01
Total time deposits (1)	<u>111,275</u>	<u>75.07</u>	<u>107,261</u>	<u>70.71</u>	<u>84,167</u>	<u>73.24</u>
Total deposits	<u>\$148,238</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$151,682</u>	<u>100.00%</u>	<u>\$114,925</u>	<u>100.00%</u>

(1) Included are Individual Retirement Accounts (IRA's) totaling \$8,745,000 and \$8,790,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, all of which are in the form of time deposits.

Deposits of \$100,000 or more, or Jumbo Time Deposits, are generally considered a more unpredictable source of funds. The following table sets forth our maturity distribution of deposits of \$100,000 or more at December 31, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	<u>At December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Due three months or less	\$14,829	\$ 9,060
Due more than three months to six months	7,036	14,083
More than six months to one year	19,495	25,608
One to five years	<u>9,949</u>	<u>3,887</u>
Total	<u>\$51,309</u>	<u>\$52,638</u>

ANALYSIS OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our profitability depends to a large extent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest received on earning assets, such as loans and securities, and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities, principally deposits and borrowings. Net interest income is determined by the difference between yields earned on interest-earning assets and rates paid on interest-bearing liabilities (“interest-rate spread”) and the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Our interest-rate spread is affected by regulatory, economic, and competitive factors that influence interest rates, loan demand, and deposit flows. Our results of operations are also affected by the provision for loan losses, operating expenses such as salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and other operating expenses including income taxes, and noninterest income such as loan prepayment fees.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, information regarding (i) the total dollar amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the resultant average yield; (ii) the total dollar amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the resultant average cost; (iii) net interest income; (iv) interest rate spread; and (v) net interest margin. Average balances are based on average daily balances (dollars in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,								
	2010			2009			2008		
	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Average Yield/Rate	Average Balance	Interest and Dividends	Average Yield/Rate
Interest-earning assets:									
Loans	\$129,947	\$ 6,301	4.85%	\$158,157	\$ 8,986	5.68%	\$162,157	\$11,236	6.93%
Securities	45,027	2,409	5.35	89,129	4,985	5.59	76,975	4,183	5.43
Other interest-earning assets (1)	33,555	77	0.23	16,953	35	0.21	4,786	151	3.16
Total interest-earning assets/interest income	208,529	8,787	4.21	264,239	14,006	5.30	243,918	15,570	6.38
Cash and due from banks	1,164			2,176			538		
Premises and equipment	2,872			3,017			3,181		
Other assets	7,341			1,933			3,234		
Total assets	<u>\$219,906</u>			<u>\$271,365</u>			<u>\$250,871</u>		
Interest-bearing liabilities:									
Savings, NOW and money-market deposits	41,555	486	1.17	38,616	713	1.85	32,291	1,024	3.17
Time deposits	114,817	2,297	2.00	94,838	2,913	3.07	81,461	3,497	4.29
Borrowings (4)	51,194	2,084	4.07	113,175	4,725	4.17	109,961	4,690	4.27
Total interest-bearing liabilities/interest expense	207,566	4,867	2.34	246,629	8,351	3.39	223,713	9,211	4.12
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	507			449			715		
Other liabilities	5,208			3,620			3,478		
Stockholders' equity	6,625			20,667			22,965		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$219,906</u>			<u>\$271,365</u>			<u>\$250,871</u>		
Net interest income		<u>\$ 3,920</u>			<u>\$ 5,655</u>			<u>\$ 6,359</u>	
Interest rate spread (2)			<u>1.87%</u>			<u>1.91%</u>			<u>2.26%</u>
Net interest margin (3)			<u>1.88%</u>			<u>2.14%</u>			<u>2.61%</u>
Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities			<u>1.00</u>			<u>1.07</u>			<u>1.09</u>

(1) Includes interest-earning deposits with banks, Federal funds sold and Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividends.

(2) Interest rate spread represents the difference between average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities.

(3) Net interest margin is net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets.

(4) Includes Federal Home Loan Bank advances, junior subordinated debenture and securities sold under an agreement to repurchase.

RATE/VOLUME ANALYSIS

The following tables set forth certain information regarding changes in interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. For each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, information is provided on changes attributable to (1) changes in rate (change in rate multiplied by prior volume), (2) changes in volume (change in volume multiplied by prior rate) and (3) changes in rate-volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume) (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2010 versus 2009			
	Increases (Decreases) Due to Change In:			
	Rate	Volume	Rate/ Volume	Total
Interest-earning assets:				
Loans	\$(1,317)	\$(1,603)	\$ 235	\$(2,685)
Securities	(216)	(2,467)	107	(2,576)
Other interest-earning assets	<u>3</u>	<u>35</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>42</u>
Total interest-earning assets	<u>(1,530)</u>	<u>(4,035)</u>	<u>346</u>	<u>(5,219)</u>
Interest-bearing liabilities:				
Savings, NOW and money-market	(261)	54	(20)	(227)
Time deposits	(1,016)	614	(214)	(616)
Other	<u>(119)</u>	<u>(2,588)</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>(2,641)</u>
Total interest-bearing liabilities	<u>(1,396)</u>	<u>(1,920)</u>	<u>(168)</u>	<u>(3,484)</u>
Net interest income	<u>\$ (134)</u>	<u>\$(2,115)</u>	<u>\$ 514</u>	<u>\$(1,735)</u>

	Year Ended December 31, 2009 versus 2008			
	Increases (Decreases) Due to Change In:			
	Rate	Volume	Rate/ Volume	Total
Interest-earning assets:				
Loans	\$(2,023)	\$ (277)	\$ 50	\$(2,250)
Securities	123	660	19	802
Other interest-earning assets	<u>(140)</u>	<u>383</u>	<u>(359)</u>	<u>(116)</u>
Total interest-earning assets	<u>(2,040)</u>	<u>766</u>	<u>(290)</u>	<u>(1,564)</u>
Interest-bearing liabilities:				
Savings, NOW and money-market	(428)	201	(84)	(311)
Time deposits	(995)	574	(163)	(584)
Other	<u>(99)</u>	<u>136</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>35</u>
Total interest-bearing liabilities	<u>(1,522)</u>	<u>911</u>	<u>(249)</u>	<u>(860)</u>
Net interest income	<u>\$ (518)</u>	<u>\$ (145)</u>	<u>\$ (41)</u>	<u>\$ (704)</u>

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2009

General. Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$8,453,000 or \$(10.32) per basic and diluted share compared to a net loss of \$11,481,000 or \$(14.01) per basic and diluted share for the year ended December 31, 2009. This \$3.0 million decrease in the Company's net loss was primarily due to a \$12,149,000 decrease in the provision for loan losses partially offset by a net \$2.3 million expense associated with downsizing the Company, a \$3.9 million reduction in income tax benefit and a \$1.1 million increase in professional fees associated with loan foreclosures, workouts, and regulatory matters.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased to \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to \$14.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Interest income on loans decreased to \$6.3 million due primarily to a decrease in the average loan portfolio balance and a decrease in the average yield earned in 2010 due to an increase in the average balance of non-performing loans in 2010. Interest on securities decreased by \$2.6 million due primarily to a decrease in the average balance of the securities portfolio in 2010.

Interest Expense. Interest expense on deposit accounts decreased to \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$3.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. Interest expense on deposits decreased primarily because of a decrease in the average yield paid in 2010 partially offset by an increase in the average balance of deposits. Interest expense on borrowings decreased to \$2.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 due primarily to a decrease in the average balance of borrowings.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for the year ended December 31, 2010, was \$3.6 million compared to \$15.8 million for the same period in 2009. The provision for loan losses is charged to operations as losses are estimated to have occurred in order to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management to absorb losses inherent in the loan portfolio at December 31, 2010. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based upon historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by us, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of the underlying collateral, loans identified as impaired, general economic conditions, particularly as they relate to our market areas, and other factors related to the estimated collectability of our loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$3.7 million or 3.16% of loans outstanding at December 31, 2010, compared to \$9.4 million, or 6.54% of loans outstanding at December 31, 2009. The decrease in the allowance was due to the use of specific reserves for charge-offs of loans deemed uncollectible. Management believes the balance in the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2010 is adequate.

Noninterest Income. Total noninterest income increased to \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, from \$(145,000) for the year ended December 31, 2009 primarily due to gains recognized on the sale of securities as part of the downsizing of the Company in the first quarter of 2010 and an other-than-temporary impairment on securities recorded for \$179,000 in 2009.

Noninterest Expenses. Total noninterest expenses increased to \$9.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 from \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009, primarily due to a loss on the early extinguishment of debt associated with the Company's downsizing, and an increase in professional fees due to legal and consulting expenses associated with loan foreclosure, loan workouts, and regulatory matters, and write-downs on foreclosed real estate, all occurring in the 2010 period.

Income Taxes (Benefit). The income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2010 was \$349,000. The income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2009 was \$3,501,000.

Year Ended December 31, 2009 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2008

General. Net loss for 2009 were \$11,481,000, or \$14.01 per basic and diluted share, \$12 million more than in 2008. The primary factors explaining the decline were a \$.5 decrease in noninterest income (expense) coupled with a \$14.4 million increase in the provision for loan losses, and a \$.7 decrease in net interest income, partially offset by a \$4.3 million decrease in income tax expense.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased to \$14.0 million for 2009 compared to \$15.6 million for 2008. Interest income on loans decreased to \$9.0 million due primarily to a decrease in the average loan portfolio balance and a decrease in the average yield earned in 2009. Interest on securities increased by \$.8 million due primarily to an increase in the average balance of the securities portfolio in 2009.

Interest Expense. Interest expense on deposit accounts decreased to \$3.6 million for 2009, from \$4.5 million for 2008. Interest expense on deposits decreased primarily because of a decrease in rates paid in 2009. Interest expense on borrowings remained \$4.7 million for 2009 and 2008.

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for 2009 was \$15.8 million compared to \$1.4 million for 2008. In 2009, the provision was primarily to reflect the impairment in value of loans in the amount of \$5.5 million and charge-offs of \$8.3 million. The provision for loan losses is charged to earnings as losses are estimated to have occurred in order to bring the total allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management. Management's periodic evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance is based upon historical experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by us, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of the underlying collateral, loans identified as impaired, general economic conditions, particularly as they relate to our market areas, and other factors related to the estimated collectability of our loan portfolio. The allowance for loan losses totaled \$9.4 million or 6.54% of loans outstanding at December 31, 2009, compared to \$1.9 million, or 1.18% of loans outstanding at December 31, 2008. Management believes the balance in the allowance for loan losses at December 31, 2009 is adequate.

Noninterest Income (Expense). Total noninterest income (expense) decreased to \$(145,000) for 2009, from \$393,000 for 2008 primarily as a result of a decrease in loan prepayment fees, late charge fees and an other-than-temporary impairment on securities of \$179,000 in 2009.

Noninterest Expenses. Total noninterest expenses increased to \$4.7 million for 2009 from \$4.5 million for 2008, primarily due to a special assessment by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation of \$119,000 in 2009.

Income Taxes (Benefit). Income tax benefit for 2009, was \$(3,501,000) (an effective rate of 23.4%) compared to income taxes of \$313,000 (an effective rate of 37.6%) for 2008.

Impact of Inflation and Changing Prices

The financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which requires the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars, without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Unlike most industrial companies, substantially all of our assets and liabilities are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or in the same magnitude as the prices of goods and services, since such prices are affected by inflation to a larger extent than interest rates.

Item 8. Financial Statements

The financial statements of OptimumBank Holding, Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are set forth in this Form 10-K as Exhibit 13.1 and contain the following information:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the Years Then Ended

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

Item 9A(T). Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Based upon management's evaluation of those controls and procedures performed within the 90 days preceding the filing of this Report, our Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, subject to the limitations noted below, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). Such internal controls over financial reporting were designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, the Company used the criteria set forth in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based upon our evaluation under the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2010.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the Company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

(c) Changes in Internal Controls

We have made no significant changes in our internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

(d) Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our Principal Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Item 9B. Other Information

The Company did not fail to file any Form 8-K or to disclose any information required to be disclosed therein during the fourth quarter of 2010.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance

OptimumBank has a Code of Ethics that applies to its chief executive officer, chief operating officer, chief financial officer (who is also its chief accounting officer) and controller, a copy of which is incorporated by reference into this Form 10-KSB as Exhibit 14.1. This Code of Ethics is also posted on our website at www.optimumbank.com/corpgovernance.html.

The information contained under the sections captioned “Nominees” and “Executive Officers Who Are Not Directors” under “Proposal 1: Election of Directors and Management Information” and “The Board of Directors Meetings and Committees” under “Corporate Governance,” and “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” in the registrant’s definitive Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held in June 2011, to be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days of the registrant’s fiscal year end (the “Proxy Statement”), is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information contained under the sections captioned “Directors’ Compensation,” “Information Regarding Executive Officer Compensation,” and “Certain Relationship and Related Transactions,” in the Proxy Statement, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information contained under the section captioned “Information Regarding Beneficial Ownership of Principal Shareholders, Directors and Management” in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

We had one compensation plan under which shares of our common stock were issuable at December 31, 2010. This plan is our Stock Option Plan, previously approved by our stockholders. The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2010 with respect to the number of shares of our common stock issuable pursuant to this plan.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

<u>Plan Category</u>	(a) <u>Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options Warrants and Rights</u>	(b) <u>Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights</u>	(c) <u>Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))</u>
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	<u>69,132</u>	<u>\$ 30.05</u>	<u>66,794</u>

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information contained under the section captioned “Certain Relationships and Related Transactions,” and sections captioned “Director Independence” and “The Board of Directors Meetings and Committees” under “Corporate Governance” in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information contained under the section captioned “Independent Accountants” in the Proxy Statement is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

The financial statements of OptimumBank Holding, Inc. as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 are set forth in this Form 10-K as Exhibit 13.1 and contain the following information:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the Years Then Ended

(2) See Exhibit Index.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant has caused this 10-K report to be duly signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Fort Lauderdale, State of Florida, on the 15th day of April 2011.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC.

/s/ Richard L. Browdy

Richard L. Browdy
President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial
Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on April 15, 2011.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>
<u>/s/ Richard L. Browdy</u> Richard L. Browdy	Director
<u>/s/ Sam Borek</u> Sam Borek	Director and Chairman of the Board
<u>/s/ Jerry Grace</u> Jerry Grace	Director
<u>/s/ Wendy Mitchler</u> Wendy Mitchler	Director
<u>/s/ Larry Willis</u> Larry Willis	Director
<u>/s/ Robert Acri</u> Robert Acri	Director

EXHIBIT INDEX

<u>Exhibit No.</u>	<u>Description of Exhibit</u>
3.1	Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8- K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) on May 11, 2004
3.2	Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation, effective as of January 7, 2009 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, filed with the SEC on March 31, 2009)
3.3	Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation, effective as of November 5, 2010 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the SEC on November 5, 2010)
4.3	Bylaws (incorporated by reference from Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 11, 2004
4.1	Form of stock certificate (incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10- QSB filed with the SEC on August 12, 2004)
10.1	Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-KSB filed with the SEC on March 31, 2006)
10.2	Stipulation to Entry of Consent Order and Consent Order between OptimumBank, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and State of Florida Office of Financial Regulation dated April 16, 2010 (incorporated by reference from current report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 26, 2010)
10.3	Agreement between OptimumBank, Albert J. Finch and Richard L. Browdy dated June 14, 2002 (incorporated by reference from Registration Statement on Form 10-SB under the Exchange Act, filed with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on March 28, 2003) (a)
10.4	Written Agreement by and between OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. and Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta dated June 22, 2010 (incorporated by reference from Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed with the SEC on November 15, 2010)
13.1	Consolidated Financial Statements of OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. and Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
14.1	Code of Ethics for Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers (incorporated by reference from Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 31, 2010)
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Exchange Act
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer under §906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(a) Represents a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement required to be filed as an exhibit.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets, December 31, 2010 and 2009	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009	F-6-F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the Years Then Ended	F-8-F-41

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

OptimumBank Holdings, Inc.
Fort Lauderdale, Florida:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiary (the "Company") as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company's operating and capital requirements, along with recurring losses raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to those matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

HACKER, JOHNSON & SMITH PA
Fort Lauderdale, Florida
April 14, 2011

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Balance Sheets
(Dollars in thousands, except share amounts)

	December 31,	
	2010	2009
Assets		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 1,027	\$ 1,556
Interest-bearing deposits with banks	186	8,506
Federal funds sold	13,154	26,722
Total cash and cash equivalents	14,367	36,784
Securities held to maturity (fair value of \$48,839 and \$76,984)	51,057	81,141
Loans, net of allowance for loan losses of \$3,703 and \$9,363	113,542	134,126
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,173	3,551
Premises and equipment, net	2,796	2,941
Foreclosed real estate, net	3,215	5,487
Accrued interest receivable	644	1,088
Deferred tax asset	—	772
Income taxes receivable	772	3,577
Other assets	739	490
Total assets	<u>\$190,305</u>	<u>\$269,957</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	309	199
Savings, NOW and money-market deposits	36,654	44,222
Time deposits	111,275	107,261
Total deposits	148,238	151,682
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	31,700	57,700
Other borrowings	—	41,800
Junior subordinated debenture	5,155	5,155
Advanced payment by borrowers for taxes and insurance	806	945
Official checks	815	694
Other liabilities	756	693
Total liabilities	<u>187,470</u>	<u>258,669</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 1, 4, 9, 14 and 16)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, no par value; 6,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 1,500,000 and 6,000,000 shares authorized in 2010 and 2009, 819,358 and 3,276,842 shares issued and outstanding in 2010 and 2009	8	33
Additional paid-in capital	19,071	19,046
Accumulated deficit	(16,244)	(7,791)
Total stockholders' equity	<u>2,835</u>	<u>11,288</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$190,305</u>	<u>\$269,957</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Operations
(In thousands, except share amounts)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Interest income:		
Loans	\$ 6,301	\$ 8,986
Securities	2,409	4,985
Other	<u>77</u>	<u>35</u>
Total interest income	<u>8,787</u>	<u>14,006</u>
Interest expense:		
Deposits	2,783	3,626
Borrowings	<u>2,084</u>	<u>4,725</u>
Total interest expense	<u>4,867</u>	<u>8,351</u>
Net interest income	3,920	5,655
Provision for loan losses	<u>3,645</u>	<u>15,794</u>
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan losses	<u>275</u>	<u>(10,139)</u>
Noninterest income:		
Service charges and fees	<u>39</u>	<u>27</u>
Gain on sale of securities	<u>1,350</u>	<u>—</u>
Other	<u>5</u>	<u>7</u>
Other-than-temporary impairment on securities available for sale:		
Total other-than-temporary impairment of securities	—	(179)
Less: Portion of other-than-temporary losses recognized in other comprehensive loss	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Net impairment loss recognized in operations	<u>—</u>	<u>(179)</u>
Total noninterest income (expense)	<u>1,394</u>	<u>(145)</u>
Noninterest expenses:		
Salaries and employee benefits	1,857	2,053
Occupancy and equipment	586	614
Data processing	202	173
Professional fees	1,732	605
Insurance	186	42
Stationary and supplies	42	41
Foreclosed real estate expenses	469	17
Regulatory assessment	642	511
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	3,699	—
Other	<u>358</u>	<u>642</u>
Total noninterest expenses	<u>9,773</u>	<u>4,698</u>
Loss before income taxes (benefit)	(8,104)	(14,982)
Income taxes (benefit)	<u>349</u>	<u>(3,501)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (8,453)</u>	<u>\$ (11,481)</u>
Net loss per share:		
Basic	<u>\$ (10.32)</u>	<u>\$ (14.01)</u>
Diluted	<u>\$ (10.32)</u>	<u>\$ (14.01)</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009

(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-In Capital</u>	<u>Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</u>	<u>Total Stockholders' Equity</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>				
Balance at December 31, 2008	3,120,992	\$ 31	\$ 18,494	\$ 4,244	\$ (4)	\$ 22,765
5% stock dividend (fractional shares paid in cash)	155,850	2	552	(554)	—	—
Comprehensive loss:						
Net loss	—	—	—	(11,481)	—	(11,481)
Net change in unrealized loss on security available for sale	—	—	—	—	4	4
Comprehensive loss						(11,477)
Balance at December 31, 2009	3,276,842	\$ 33	\$ 19,046	\$ (7,791)	\$ —	\$ 11,288
Net loss	—	—	—	(8,453)	—	(8,453)
Reverse stock split (1 for 4 shares)	(2,457,484)	(25)	25	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>819,358</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>	<u>\$ 19,071</u>	<u>\$ (16,244)</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 2,835</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(In thousands)

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (8,453)	\$ (11,481)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	158	186
Provision for loan losses	3,645	15,794
Deferred income tax (benefit)	772	(204)
Decrease (increase) in income taxes receivable	2,805	(3,577)
Gain on sale of securities	(1,350)	—
Loss on early extinguishment of debt	3,699	—
Net amortization of fees, premiums and discounts	(345)	(137)
Decrease in accrued interest receivable	444	189
(Increase) decrease in other assets	(249)	317
Loss on sale of foreclosed real estate	243	—
Provision for losses on foreclosed real estate	—	8
Write-down of foreclosed real estate	126	—
Other-than-temporary impairment of securities	—	179
Increase (decrease) in official checks and other liabilities	184	(73)
Net cash provided by operating activities	<u>1,679</u>	<u>1,201</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of securities held to maturity	(24,328)	(24,032)
Principal repayments and calls of securities held to maturity	11,389	25,364
Proceeds from sale of securities	44,869	248
Net decrease in loans	16,740	5,074
Purchase of premises and equipment, net	(13)	(33)
Proceeds from sale of foreclosed real estate, net	1,951	—
Redemption (purchase) of Federal Home Loan Bank stock	378	(25)
Net cash provided by investing activities	<u>50,986</u>	<u>6,596</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(3,444)	36,757
Repayment of other borrowings	(44,764)	—
Repayments of Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(26,735)	(11,000)
Net (decrease) increase in advanced payment by borrowers for taxes and insurance	(139)	10
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>(75,082)</u>	<u>25,767</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(22,417)	33,564
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the year	36,784	3,220
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the year	<u>\$ 14,367</u>	<u>\$ 36,784</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, Continued
(In thousands)**

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid (received) during the year for:		
Interest	<u>\$ 4,917</u>	<u>\$ 8,527</u>
Income taxes	<u>\$ (3,228)</u>	<u>\$ 300</u>
Noncash transactions:		
Change in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net change in unrealized loss on security available for sale, net of tax	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4</u>
Common stock dividend	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 554</u>
Loan transferred to foreclosed real estate	<u>\$ 533</u>	<u>\$ 5,400</u>
Loans made in connection with sale of foreclosed real estate	<u>\$ 485</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Reverse stock split	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

See Accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the Years Then Ended

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization. OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. (the “Holding Company”) is a one-bank holding company and owns 100% of OptimumBank (the “Bank”), a state (Florida)-chartered commercial bank. The Bank’s wholly-owned subsidiaries are OB Real Estate Management, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings, LLC and OB Real Estate Holding 1503, LLC, all of which were formed in 2009 and OB Real Estate Holdings 1695, OB Real Estate Holdings 1669, OB Real Estate Holdings 1645 and OB Real Estate Holdings 1565, all formed in 2010. The Holding Company’s only business is the operation of the Bank and its subsidiaries (collectively, the “Company”). The Bank’s deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”). The Bank offers a variety of community banking services to individual and corporate customers through its three banking offices located in Broward County, Florida. OB Real Estate Management, LLC is primarily engaged to manage foreclosed real estate. This subsidiary had no activity in 2010 and 2009. All real estate holding subsidiaries are primarily engaged to hold and dispose of foreclosed real estate.

Going Concern. The Company’s recent and continuing increases in nonperforming assets, declining net interest margin, continuing high levels of noninterest expenses related to the credit problems, and eroding regulatory capital raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company has not complied with its regulatory capital requirements set forth in the Consent Order issued by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) and the Florida Office of Financial Regulation (“OFR”) and Prompt Corrective Action provisions discussed in Note 14, Regulatory Matters. The Company needs to raise substantial additional capital. Since January 2011, the Company has conducted a private placement offering of its common stock. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will raise sufficient capital, if any, in the private placement offering, to meet the Bank’s capital requirements. Further, there can be no assurance that other financing alternatives or recapitalization plans will be available, forthcoming or successfully implemented, or receive regulatory approval.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Holding Company, the Bank, OB Real Estate Management, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings 1503, LLC, OB Real Estate Holdings 1695, OB Real Estate Holdings 1669, OB Real Estate Holdings 1645 and OB Real Estate Holdings 1565. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The accounting and reporting practices of the Company conform to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and to general practices within the banking industry. The following summarizes the more significant of these policies and practices:

Use of Estimates. In preparing consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated balance sheet and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. A material estimate that is particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relates to the determination of the allowance for loan losses and the valuation of foreclosed real estate and the deferred tax asset.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Cash and Cash Equivalents. For purposes of the consolidated statements of cash flows, cash and cash equivalents include cash and balances due from banks, interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold, all of which mature within ninety days.

The Company may be required by law or regulation to maintain cash reserves in the form of vault cash or in accounts with other banks. There were no reserve balances required at December 31, 2010 and 2009.

Securities. Securities may be classified as either trading, held to maturity or available for sale. Trading securities are held principally for resale and recorded at their fair values. Unrealized gains and losses on trading securities are included immediately in operations. Held to maturity securities are those which management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity and are reported at amortized cost. Available for sale securities consist of securities not classified as trading securities nor as held to maturity securities. Unrealized holding gains and losses on available for sale securities are reported as a net amount in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity until realized. Gains and losses on the sale of available for sale securities are determined using the specific-identification method. Premiums and discounts on securities are recognized in interest income using the interest method over the period to maturity.

Loans. Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are reported at their outstanding principal adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, and any deferred fees or costs.

Commitment fees, and loan origination fees are deferred and certain direct origination costs are capitalized. Both are recognized as an adjustment of the yield of the related loan.

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued at the time the loan is ninety days delinquent unless the loan is well collateralized and in process of collection. In all cases, loans are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off at an earlier date if collection of principal or interest is considered doubtful.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Loans, Continued. All interest accrued but not collected for loans that are placed on nonaccrual or charged-off is reversed against interest income. The interest on these loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged to operations. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The allowance for loan losses is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management's periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower's ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available.

The allowance consists of specific and general components. The specific component relates to loans that are classified as impaired. For such loans, an allowance is established when the discounted cash flows (or collateral value or observable market price) of the impaired loans are lower than the carrying value of those loans. The general component covers all other loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for qualitative factors.

For nonspecific loans in all classes, the general component is based on historical loss experience and adjusted for certain qualitative factors such as economic conditions and other trends or uncertainties that could affect management's estimate of probable loss.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan by loan basis for commercial real estate, land and construction and multi-family real estate loans by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, the loan's obtainable market price, or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Allowance for Loan Losses, Continued. Large groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer and residential loans for impairment disclosures.

Foreclosed Real Estate. Real estate acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosure is to be sold and is initially recorded at fair value less estimated selling costs at the date of foreclosure establishing a new cost basis. After foreclosure, valuations are periodically performed by management and the real estate is carried at the lower of the new cost basis or fair value less cost to sell. Revenue and expenses from operations are included in the consolidated statements of operations.

Premises and Equipment. Land is stated at cost. Buildings and improvements, furniture, fixtures, equipment, and leasehold improvements are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation and amortization expense are computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of each type of asset or lease term, if shorter.

Preferred Securities of Unconsolidated Subsidiary Trust. On September 30, 2004, the Company acquired the common stock of OptimumBank Holdings Capital Trust I (“Issuer Trust”), an unconsolidated subsidiary trust. The Issuer Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of \$5,000,000 of its preferred securities to third-party investors and common stock to acquire a \$5,155,000 debenture issued by the Company. This debenture and certain capitalized costs associated with the issuance of the preferred stock comprise the Issuer Trust’s only assets and the interest payments from the debentures finance the distributions paid on the preferred securities. The Company recorded the debenture in “Junior Subordinated Debenture” and its equity interest in the business trust in “Other Assets” on the consolidated balance sheets (See Note 7).

The Company has entered into agreements which, taken collectively, fully and unconditionally guarantee the preferred securities of the Issuer Trust subject to the terms of the guarantee.

Transfer of Financial Assets. Transfers of financial assets or a participating interest in an entire financial asset are accounted for as sales, when control over the assets has been surrendered. Control over transferred assets is deemed to be surrendered when (1) the assets have been isolated from the Company, (2) the transferee obtains the right (free of conditions that constrain it from taking advantage of that right) to pledge or exchange the transferred assets, and (3) the Company does not maintain effective control over the transferred assets through an agreement to repurchase them before their maturity. A participating interest is a portion of an entire financial asset that (1) conveys proportionate ownership rights with equal priority to each participating interest holder (2) involves no recourse (other than standard representations and warranties) to, or subordination by, any participating interest holder, and (3) does not entitle any participating interest holder to receive cash before any other participating interest holder.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Income Taxes. There are two components of income tax expense: current and deferred. Current income tax expense reflects taxes to be paid or refunded for the current period by applying the provisions of the enacted tax law to the taxable income or excess of deductions over revenues. The Company determines deferred income taxes using the liability (or balance sheet) method. Under this method, the net deferred tax asset or liability is based on the tax effects of the differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, and enacted changes in tax rates and laws are recognized in the period in which they occur. Deferred income tax expense results from changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities between periods.

Deferred tax assets are recognized if it is more likely than not, based on the technical merits, that the tax position will be realized or sustained upon examination. The term more likely than not means a likelihood of more than 50 percent; the terms examined and upon examination also include resolution of the related appeals or litigation processes, if any. A tax position that meets the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold is initially and subsequently measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon settlement with a taxing authority that has full knowledge of all relevant information. The determination of whether or not a tax position has met the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold considers the facts, circumstances, and information available at the reporting date and is subject to management's judgment. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if, based on the weight of evidence available, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of a deferred tax asset will not be realized.

On January 1, 2009, the Company adopted accounting guidance relating to accounting for uncertainty in income taxes, which sets out a consistent framework to determine the appropriate level of tax reserves to maintain for uncertain tax positions. As of December 31, 2010, management is not aware of any uncertain tax positions that would have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties on income taxes as a component of income tax (benefit) expense.

The Holding Company and the Bank file a consolidated income tax return. Income taxes are allocated proportionately to the Holding Company and the Bank as though separate income tax returns were filed.

Advertising. The Company expenses all media advertising as incurred. Media advertising expense included in other noninterest expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations was approximately \$10,100 and \$15,000 during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Stock Compensation Plan. The Company has adopted the fair value recognition method and expenses the fair value of any stock options as they vest. Under the fair value recognition method, the Company recognizes stock-based compensation in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. At December 31, 2005, all outstanding options had vested.

Loss Per Share. Basic loss per share is computed on the basis of the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. In 2010 and 2009, basic and diluted loss per share is the same due to the net loss incurred by the Company. All amounts reflect the one-for-four reverse stock split declared in October 2010 and the 5% stock dividend declared in May 2009. Loss per common share has been computed based on the following:

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used to calculate basic and diluted loss per common share	<u>819,358</u>	<u>819,358</u>

Off-Balance-Sheet Financial Instruments. In the ordinary course of business the Company has entered into off-balance-sheet financial instruments consisting of commitments to extend credit. Such financial instruments are recorded in the consolidated financial statements when they are funded.

Fair Value Measurements. Fair value is the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The fair value hierarchy requires the Company to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The hierarchy describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities that are not active; and model-driven valuations whose inputs are observable or whose significant value drivers are observable. Valuations may be obtained from, or corroborated by, third-party pricing services.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs to measure fair value of assets and liabilities for which there is little, if any market activity at the measurement date, using reasonable inputs and assumptions based upon the best information at the time, to the extent that inputs are available without undue cost and effort.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Fair Value Measurements, Continued. The following describes valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value:

Impaired Loans. The Company's impaired loans are normally collateral dependent and, as such, are carried at the lower of the Company's net recorded investment in the loan or fair market value of the collateral less estimated selling costs. Estimates of fair value are determined based on a variety of information, including the use of available appraisals, estimates of market value by licensed appraisers or local real estate brokers and the knowledge and experience of the Company's management related to values of properties in the Company's market areas. Management takes into consideration the type, location and occupancy of the property as well as current economic conditions in the area the property is located in assessing estimates of fair value. Accordingly, fair value estimates for impaired loans are classified as Level 3.

Foreclosed Real Estate. Estimates of fair values are determined based on a variety of information, including the use of available appraisals, estimates of market value by licensed appraisers or local real estate brokers and the knowledge and experience of the Company's management related to values of properties in the Company's market areas. Management takes into consideration the type, location and occupancy of the property as well as current economic conditions in the area the property is located in assessing estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the fair values estimates for foreclosed real estate are classified as Level 3.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments. The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating fair values of financial instruments disclosed herein:

Cash and Cash Equivalents. The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair value.

Securities. Fair values for securities are based on the framework for measuring fair value established by GAAP.

Federal Home Loan Bank Stock. Fair value of the Company's investment in Federal Home Loan Bank stock is based on its redemption value, which is its cost of \$100 per share.

Loans. For variable-rate loans that reprice frequently and have no significant change in credit risk, fair values are based on carrying values. Fair values for certain fixed-rate mortgage (e.g. one-to-four family residential), commercial real estate and commercial loans are estimated using discounted cash flow analyses, using interest rates currently being offered for loans with similar terms to borrowers of similar credit quality.

Accrued Interest Receivable. The carrying amount of accrued interest approximates its fair value.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Fair Values of Financial Instruments, Continued.

Deposit Liabilities. The fair values disclosed for demand, NOW, money-market and savings deposits are, by definition, equal to the amount payable on demand at the reporting date (that is, their carrying amounts). Fair values for fixed-rate time deposits are estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on time deposits to a schedule of aggregated expected monthly maturities of time deposits.

Federal Home Loan Bank Advances, Junior Subordinated Debenture and Other Borrowings. Fair values of Federal Home Loan Bank advances, junior subordinated debenture and other borrowings which consist of securities sold under an agreement to repurchase are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis based on the Company's current incremental borrowings rates for similar types of borrowings.

Off-Balance-Sheet Financial Instruments. Fair values for off-balance-sheet lending commitments are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing.

Comprehensive Loss. GAAP generally requires that recognized revenue, expenses, gains and losses be included in net loss. Although certain changes in assets and liabilities, such as unrealized gains and losses on available for sale securities, are reported as a separate component of the equity section of the consolidated balance sheets, such items along with net loss, are components of comprehensive loss. The only component of other comprehensive loss is the net change in the unrealized loss on the security available for sale.

Recent Pronouncements. In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2010-06, *Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements (Topic 820)*, which amends the guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures. This guidance requires a reporting entity to disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and to describe the reasons for the transfers. Furthermore, it requires a reporting entity to present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs; clarifies existing fair value disclosures about the level of disaggregation and about inputs and valuation techniques used to measure fair value; and amends guidance on employers' disclosures about postretirement benefit plan assets to require that disclosures be provided by classes of assets instead of by major categories of assets. It was effective for annual reporting periods beginning January 1, 2010, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures were effective January 1, 2011. In the period of initial adoption, entities will not be required to provide the amended disclosures for any previous periods presented for comparative purposes. The adoption of this ASU had no effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Continued

Recent Pronouncements, Continued. In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-20, *Receivables (Topic 310): Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses*. The new disclosures will require significantly more information about credit quality in a financial institution's loan portfolio. This statement addresses only disclosures and does not change recognition or measurement of the allowance. For public entities, the disclosures as of the end of a reporting period was effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending on December 31, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period was effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the disclosures are effective for annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2011. The adoption of the ASU had no material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(2) Securities

Securities have been classified according to management's intent. The carrying amount of securities and approximate fair values are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Amortized Cost</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Gains</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
At December 31, 2010:				
Securities Held to Maturity:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 50,957	\$ 130	\$ (2,348)	\$48,739
State of Israel bond	<u>100</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>\$ 51,057</u>	<u>\$ 130</u>	<u>\$ (2,348)</u>	<u>\$48,839</u>
At December 31, 2009:				
Securities Held to Maturity:				
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 81,041	\$ 1,567	\$ (5,724)	\$76,884
State of Israel bond	<u>100</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>\$ 81,141</u>	<u>\$ 1,567</u>	<u>\$ (5,724)</u>	<u>\$76,984</u>

The following summarizes sales of securities available for sale (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Proceeds from sales of securities	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 248</u>
Gross gains from sale of securities	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Gross losses from sale of securities	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Net gains from sale of securities	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(2) Securities, Continued

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company sold twenty-two held to maturity securities in order to downsize and deleverage its balance sheet. This action was taken in an effort to comply with a significant increase in the regulatory capital requirements imposed on the Bank under a Consent Order issued by the FDIC and OFR (see Note 14).

The following summarizes sales of securities held to maturity (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Proceeds from sales of securities	\$ 44,869	\$ —
Gross gains from sale of securities	1,492	—
Gross losses from sale of securities	(142)	—
Net gains from sale of securities	\$ 1,350	\$ —

Securities with gross unrealized losses at December 31, 2010, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous loss position, is as follows (in thousands):

	<u>Less Than Twelve Months</u>		<u>Over Twelve Months</u>	
	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Gross Unrealized Losses</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 268	\$ 19,890	\$ 2,080	\$20,264

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(2) Securities, Continued

Management evaluates securities for other-than-temporary impairment at least on a quarterly basis, and more frequently when economic or market concerns warrant such evaluation. A security is impaired if the fair value is less than its carrying value at the financial statement date. When a security is impaired, the Company determines whether this impairment is temporary or other-than-temporary. In estimating other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) losses, management assesses whether it intends to sell, or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell, a security in an unrealized loss position before recovery of its amortized cost basis. If either of these criteria is met, the entire difference between amortized cost and fair value is recognized in operations. For securities that do not meet the aforementioned criteria, the amount of impairment recognized in operations is limited to the amount related to credit losses, while impairment related to other factors is recognized in other comprehensive loss. Management utilizes cash flow models to segregate impairments to distinguish between impairment related to credit losses and impairment related to other factors. To assess for OTTI, management considers, among other things, (i) the severity and duration of the impairment; (ii) the ratings of the security; (iii) the overall transaction structure (the Company’s position within the structure, the aggregate, near-term financial performance of the underlying collateral, delinquencies, defaults, loss severities, recoveries, prepayments, cumulative loss projections, and discounted cash flows); and (iv) the timing and magnitude of a break in modeled cash flows.

The unrealized losses on eighteen investment securities were caused by market conditions. It is expected that the securities would not be settled at a price less than the book value of the investments. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to market conditions and not credit quality, and because the Company has the ability and intent to hold these investments until a market price recovery or maturity, these investments are not considered other-than-temporarily impaired.

In evaluating mortgage-backed securities with unrealized losses greater than 12 months, management utilizes various resources, including input from independent third party firms to perform an analysis of expected future cash flows. The process begins with an assessment of the underlying collateral backing the mortgage pools. Management develops specific assumptions using as much market data as possible and includes internal estimates as well as estimates published by rating agencies and other third-party sources. The data for the individual borrowers in the underlying mortgage pools are generally segregated by state, FICO score at issue, loan to value at issue and income documentation criteria. Mortgage pools are evaluated for current and expected levels of delinquencies and foreclosures, based on where they fall in the proscribed data set of FICO score, geographics, LTV and documentation type and a level of loss severity is assigned to each security based on its experience. The above-described historical data is used to develop current and expected measures of cumulative default rates as well as ultimate loss frequency and severity within the underlying mortgages. This reveals the expected future cash flows within the mortgage pool. The data described above is then input to an industry recognized model to assess the behavior of the particular security tranche owned by the Company. Significant inputs in this process include the structure of any subordination structures, if applicable, and are dictated by the structure of each particular security as laid out in the offering documents. The forecasted cash flows from the mortgage pools are input through the security structuring model to derive expected cash flows for the specific security owned by the Company to determine if the future cash flows are expected to exceed the book value of the security. The values for the significant inputs are updated on a regular basis.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(2) Securities, Continued

The key base assumptions for mortgage-backed securities used during 2010 are in the table below:

Prepayment rate	12-69% PSA
Loss severity	27-68%
Cumulative default rate	0.81-6.04%
Principal write-down	0 -.04%

Loss severity rates are estimated based on collateral characteristics and generally range from 27-68% for single family first mortgages.

In 2009, the Company recorded a \$179,000 other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) charge to adjust for credit losses relating to five mortgage-backed securities held to maturity with a total remaining principal balance of \$9.5 million and fair value of \$8.6 million. Based on its detailed analysis, management has determined that the fair market value is not significantly depressed and therefore the remaining decline was not recorded as an adjustment to other comprehensive loss. There was no OTTI charge in 2010.

The table below provides a cumulative roll forward of credit losses recognized in operations for the year ended December 31, 2010 relating to the Company’s held to maturity debt securities (in thousands):

Balance at December 31, 2009	\$ 179
Additions for credit losses on securities not previously impaired	—
Balance at December 31, 2010	<u>\$ 179</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(3) Loans

The components of loans are as follows (in thousands):

	At December 31,	
	2010	2009
Residential real estate	\$ 40,130	\$ 55,915
Multi-family real estate	4,213	5,162
Commercial real estate	55,119	58,901
Land and construction	17,292	22,355
Consumer	358	836
Total loans	117,112	143,169
Add (deduct):		
Net deferred loan fees, costs and premiums	134	322
Loan discounts	(1)	(2)
Allowance for loan losses	(3,703)	(9,363)
Loans, net	\$113,542	\$134,126

An analysis of the change in the allowance for loan losses for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 follows (in thousands):

	For the Year Ended December 31,						2009
	2010						
	Residential Real Estate	Multi-Family Real Estate	Commercial Real Estate	Land and Construction	Consumer	Total	
Beginning balance	\$ 2,049	\$ 489	\$ 1,466	\$ 5,227	\$ 132	\$ 9,363	\$ 1,906
Provision (credit) for loan losses	878	(64)	2,405	510	(84)	3,645	15,794
Charge-offs	(1,663)	(154)	(2,330)	(5,223)	(54)	(9,424)	(8,337)
Recoveries	21	11	1	—	86	119	—
Ending balance	\$ 1,285	\$ 282	\$ 1,542	\$ 514	\$ 80	\$ 3,703	\$ 9,363
Individually evaluated for impairment:							
Recorded investment	\$ 12,608	\$ —	\$ 21,215	\$ 10,649	\$ 249	\$44,721	\$43,501
Balance in allowance for loan losses	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 11	\$ 75	\$ —	\$ 86	\$ 5,607
Collectively evaluated for impairment:							
Recorded investment	\$ 27,522	\$ 4,213	\$ 33,904	\$ 6,643	\$ 109	\$72,391	\$99,668
Balance in allowance for loan losses	\$ 1,285	\$ 282	\$ 1,531	\$ 439	\$ 80	\$ 3,617	\$ 3,756

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(3) Loans, Continued

The following summarizes the loan credit quality at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Pass	OLEM (Other Loans Especially Mentioned)	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
Residential real estate:						
Closed-end mortgages first mortgages	\$23,542	\$ 3,697	\$ 9,691	\$ —	\$—	\$ 36,930
Closed-end second mortgages	3,200	—	—	—	—	3,200
Total residential real estate	<u>26,742</u>	<u>3,697</u>	<u>9,691</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>40,130</u>
Multi-family real estate	<u>4,213</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>4,213</u>
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	12,960	1,238	1,837	—	—	16,035
Non-owner-occupied	<u>18,042</u>	<u>3,638</u>	<u>17,404</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>39,084</u>
Total commercial real estate	<u>31,002</u>	<u>4,876</u>	<u>19,241</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>55,119</u>
Land and construction	<u>4,976</u>	<u>1,667</u>	<u>10,649</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>17,292</u>
Consumer:						
Non-real estate secured	99	—	151	—	—	250
Real estate secured	<u>108</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>108</u>
Total consumer	<u>207</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>358</u>
Total	<u><u>\$67,140</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 10,240</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 39,732</u></u>	<u><u>\$ —</u></u>	<u><u>\$—</u></u>	<u><u>\$117,112</u></u>

Internally assigned loan grades are defined as follows:

Pass – a Pass loan’s primary source of loan repayment is satisfactory, with secondary sources very likely to be realized if necessary. These are loans that conform in all aspects to bank policy and regulatory requirements, and no repayment risk has been identified.

OLEM (Other Loans Especially Mentioned) – an Other Loan Especially Mentioned has potential weaknesses that deserve management’s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or the Company’s credit position at some future date.

Substandard – a Substandard loan is inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified must have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(3) Loans, Continued

Doubtful – a loan classified Doubtful has all the weaknesses inherent in one classified Substandard, with the added characteristics that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. The Company fully charges off any loan classified as Doubtful.

Loss – a loan classified Loss is considered uncollectible and of such little value that continuance as a bankable asset is not warranted. This classification does not mean that the asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value, but rather it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off this basically worthless asset even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. The Company fully charges off any loan classified as Loss.

Age analysis of past-due loans is as follows at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Accruing Loans				Current	Nonaccrual Loans	Total Loans
	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	Greater Than 90 Days Past Due	Total Past Due			
Residential real estate:							
Closed-end first mortgages	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$27,239	\$ 9,691	\$ 36,930
Closed-end second mortgages	—	—	—	—	3,200	—	3,200
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	30,439	9,691	40,130
Multi-family real estate	—	—	—	—	4,213	—	4,213
Commercial real estate:							
Owner-occupied	—	—	—	—	14,198	1,837	16,035
Non-owner-occupied	3,195	—	—	3,195	20,881	15,008	39,084
Subtotal	3,195	—	—	3,195	35,079	16,845	55,119
Land and construction	—	—	—	—	9,449	7,843	17,292
Consumer:							
Non-real estate secured	99	—	—	99	—	151	250
Real estate secured	—	—	—	—	108	—	108
Subtotal	99	—	—	99	108	151	358
Total	<u>\$ 3,294</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 3,294</u>	<u>\$79,288</u>	<u>\$ 34,530</u>	<u>\$117,112</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(3) Loans, Continued

Nonaccrual loans and loans past due ninety days but still accruing at December 31, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

Nonaccrual loans	<u>\$ 23,848</u>
Past due ninety days or more, but still accruing interest	<u>\$ —</u>

The following summarizes the amount of impaired loans at December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	<u>Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Unpaid Principal Balance</u>	<u>Related Allowance</u>
With no related allowance recorded:			
Residential real estate-			
Closed-end first mortgages	\$ 12,608	\$ 14,272	\$ —
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	1,837	1,857	—
Non-owner-occupied	18,204	20,466	—
Land and construction	9,980	15,018	—
Consumer-			
Non-real estate secured	249	249	—
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial real estate-			
Non-owner-occupied	1,174	1,174	11
Land and construction	669	669	75
Total:			
Residential real estate-			
Closed-end first mortgages	<u>\$ 12,608</u>	<u>\$ 14,272</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	<u>\$ 1,837</u>	<u>\$ 1,857</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
Non-owner-occupied	<u>\$ 19,378</u>	<u>\$ 21,640</u>	<u>\$ 11</u>
Land and construction	<u>\$ 10,649</u>	<u>\$ 15,687</u>	<u>\$ 75</u>
Consumer-			
Non-real estate secured	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ 249</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(3) Loans, Continued

The average net investment in impaired loans and interest income recognized and received on impaired loans are as follows (in thousands):

	<u>For the Year Ended December 31,</u>		
	<u>2010</u>		
	<u>Average Recorded Investment</u>	<u>Interest Income Recognized</u>	<u>Interest Income Received</u>
Residential real estate-			
Closed-end first mortgages	\$ 7,081	\$ 20	\$ 35
Multi-family real estate	\$ 387	\$ —	\$ —
Commercial real estate:			
Owner-occupied	\$ 1,266	\$ —	\$ 4
Non-owner-occupied	\$ 15,279	\$ 267	\$ 366
Land and construction	\$ 9,965	\$ 26	\$ 253
Consumer-			
Non-real estate secured	\$ 9	\$ —	\$ —

The following summarizes the amount of impaired loans at December 31, 2009 (in thousands):

Collateral-dependent loans identified as impaired:	
Gross loans with no related allowance for losses	\$21,846
Gross loans with related allowance for losses recorded	18,032
Less allowances on these loans	(5,542)
Net loans with related allowance	12,490
Net investment in collateral-dependent impaired loans	34,336
Noncollateral-dependent loans identified as impaired:	
Gross loans with related allowance for losses recorded	3,623
Less allowance on these loans	(65)
Net investment in noncollateral-dependent impaired loans	3,558
Net investment in impaired loans	\$37,894

The average net investment in impaired loans and interest income recognized and received on impaired loans in 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

Average investment in impaired loans	\$25,017
Interest income recognized on impaired loans	\$ 280
Interest income received on impaired loans	\$ 584

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(4) Premises and Equipment

A summary of premises and equipment follows (in thousands):

	<u>At December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Land	\$ 1,171	\$ 1,171
Buildings and improvements	1,959	1,959
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	1,026	1,022
Leasehold improvements	<u>119</u>	<u>119</u>
Total, at cost	4,275	4,271
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	<u>(1,479)</u>	<u>(1,330)</u>
Premises and equipment, net	<u>\$ 2,796</u>	<u>\$ 2,941</u>

The Company currently leases two branch facilities under operating leases. One lease contains renewal options and requires the Company to pay an allowable share of common area maintenance and real estate taxes. The other lease only requires the Company to pay real estate taxes. Rent expense under operating leases during the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$133,000 and \$131,000 respectively. At December 31, 2010, the future minimum lease payments are approximately as follows (in thousands):

<u>Year Ending December 31,</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2011	\$ 133
2012	84
2013	<u>80</u>
	<u>\$ 297</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(5) Foreclosed Real Estate

Foreclosed real estate is presented net of an allowance for losses. An analysis of the allowance for losses on foreclosed real estate is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Balance of beginning of year	\$ 21	\$ 13
Provision for losses on foreclosed real estate	—	8
Charge-offs	(21)	—
Balance at end of year	\$ —	\$ 21

Expenses applicable to foreclosed real estate are as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2010	2009
Write-down on foreclosed real estate	\$ 126	\$ —
Provision for losses on foreclosed real estate	—	8
Loss on sale of foreclosed real estate	243	—
Operating expenses	100	9
	\$ 469	\$ 17

(6) Deposits

The aggregate amount of time deposits with a minimum denomination of \$100,000, was approximately \$51.3 million and \$52.6 million at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

A schedule of maturities of time deposits at December 31, 2010 follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2011	\$ 87,919
2012	15,939
2013	4,309
2014	820
2015	2,288
	\$111,275

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(7) Federal Home Loan Bank Advances and Junior Subordinated Debenture

The maturities and interest rates on the Federal Home Loan Bank (“FHLB”) advances were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Maturity Year Ending December 31,	Call Date	Interest Rate	At December 31,	
			2010	2009
2010	-	3.16%	\$ —	\$ 5,000
2010	-	3.12	—	5,000
2012	2011	4.75	4,000	4,000
2013	-	3.44	—	3,000
2013	-	3.00	—	5,000
2013	2011	3.64	7,500	7,500
2014	-	3.64	—	8,000
2016	-	4.51	5,000	5,000
2016	-	4.65	8,000	8,000
2016	-	4.44	5,600	5,600
2017	2011	4.38	1,600	1,600
			<u>\$31,700</u>	<u>\$57,700</u>

Certain of the above advances are callable by the FHLB starting in the year indicated.

At December 31, 2010 and 2009, the FHLB advances were collateralized by \$15.8 million of securities and by a lien on qualifying residential one-to-four family mortgage loans, commercial and multi-family real estate loans and second mortgage loans.

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company sold mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations with a carrying value of \$13.8 million in order to downsize and deleverage its balance sheet in order to increase the Bank’s regulatory capital ratios to comply with the Consent Order issued by the FDIC and OFR (see Note 14). The proceeds from the sale of securities were used to prepay approximately \$16 million in FHLB advances secured by these securities. The Company realized a loss from prepayment penalties of approximately \$735,000.

On September 30, 2004, the Company issued a \$5,155,000 junior subordinated debenture to an unconsolidated subsidiary. The debenture has a term of thirty years. The interest rate was fixed at 6.4% for the first five years, and thereafter, the coupon rate floats quarterly at the three-month LIBOR rate plus 2.45% (2.73% at December 31, 2010). The junior subordinated debenture, due in 2034, is redeemable in certain circumstances after October 2009. The terms of the debenture agreement allows the Company to defer payments of interest on the debenture by extending the interest payment period at any time during the term of the debenture for up to twenty consecutive quarterly periods. During 2010, the Company exercised its right to defer payment of interest on the debenture. Interest payments deferred as of December 31, 2010 totaled \$179,000.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(8) Other Borrowings

Other borrowings consisted of securities sold under agreements to repurchase. The securities sold under the agreements to repurchase were delivered to the broker-dealer who arranged the transactions. Information concerning the securities sold under agreements to repurchase is summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Balance at year end	\$ —	\$ 41,800
Average balance during the year	\$ 7,558	\$ 41,800
Average interest rate during the year	4.24%	4.20%
Maximum month-end balance during the year	\$ 41,800	\$ 41,800
Securities held to maturity pledged as collateral	\$ —	\$ 49,175
Cash pledged as collateral	\$ —	\$ 7,847

During the first quarter of 2010, the Company sold mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations with a carrying value of \$29.6 million in order to downsize and deleverage its balance sheet in order to increase the Bank's regulatory capital ratios to comply with the Consent Order issued by the FDIC and OFR (see Note 14). The proceeds from the sale of securities were used to prepay approximately \$41.8 million in reverse repurchase agreements secured by these securities. The Company realized a loss from prepayment penalties of approximately \$3.0 million.

(9) Financial Instruments

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

	<u>At December 31, 2010</u>		<u>At December 31, 2009</u>	
	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>	<u>Carrying Amount</u>	<u>Fair Value</u>
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 14,367	\$ 14,367	\$ 36,784	\$ 36,784
Securities held to maturity	51,057	48,839	81,141	76,984
Loans	113,542	113,513	134,126	134,365
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,173	3,173	3,551	3,551
Accrued interest receivable	644	644	1,088	1,088
Financial liabilities:				
Deposit liabilities	148,238	148,929	151,682	152,381
Federal Home Loan Bank advances	31,700	33,425	57,700	59,206
Other borrowings	—	—	41,800	43,537
Junior subordinated debenture	5,155	4,740	5,155	4,875
Off-balance sheet financial instruments	—	—	—	—

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(9) Financial Instruments, Continued

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit and may involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. The contract amounts of these instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in these financial instruments.

The Company's exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to the financial instrument for commitments to extend credit is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. The Company uses the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Because some of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The Company evaluates each customer's credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company, upon extension of credit, is based on management's credit evaluation of the counterparty.

As of December 31, 2010, the Company has no commitments to extend credit.

(10) Credit Risk

The Company grants the majority of its loans to borrowers throughout Broward and portions of Palm Beach and Miami-Dade Counties, Florida. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, a significant portion of its borrowers' ability to honor their contracts is dependent upon the economy in Broward, Palm Beach and Miami-Dade Counties, Florida.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(11) Income Taxes

Income taxes (benefit) consisted of the following (in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Current:		
Federal	\$ (423)	\$ (3,297)
State	—	—
Total current	<u>(423)</u>	<u>(3,297)</u>
Deferred:		
Federal	(2,191)	(1,506)
State	(446)	(824)
Valuation allowance	<u>3,409</u>	<u>2,126</u>
Total deferred	<u>772</u>	<u>(204)</u>
Total	<u>\$ 349</u>	<u>\$ (3,501)</u>

The reasons for the differences between the statutory Federal income tax rate and the effective tax rate are summarized as follows (dollars in thousands):

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>			
	<u>2010</u>		<u>2009</u>	
	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% of Pretax Loss</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>% of Pretax Loss</u>
Income taxes (benefit) at statutory rate	\$(2,755)	(34.0)%	\$(5,094)	(34.0)%
Increase (decrease) resulting from:				
State taxes, net of Federal tax benefit	(294)	(3.6)	(544)	(3.6)
Change in valuation allowance	3,409	42.1	2,126	14.2
Other	<u>(11)</u>	<u>(.2)</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>—</u>
	<u>\$ 349</u>	<u>4.3%</u>	<u>\$(3,501)</u>	<u>(23.4)%</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(11) Income Taxes, Continued

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below (in thousands).

	At December 31,	
	2010	2009
Deferred tax assets:		
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 434	\$2,516
Net operating loss carryforwards	4,933	317
Premises and equipment	92	58
Impaired securities	67	67
Foreclosed property expenses	14	—
Other	27	—
	5,567	2,958
Gross deferred tax assets		
Less: Valuation allowance	5,535	2,126
	32	832
Net deferred tax assets		
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Loan costs	(10)	(18)
Prepaid expenses	(22)	(42)
	(32)	(60)
Deferred tax liabilities		
Net deferred tax asset	\$ —	\$ 772

During the year ended December 31, 2009, the Company assessed its earnings history and trend over the past year and its estimate of future earnings, and determined that it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will not be realized in the near term. Accordingly, a valuation allowance was recorded against the net deferred tax asset for the amount not expected to be realized in the future.

At December 31, 2010, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of approximately \$12.1 million for Federal and \$23.0 million for Florida available to offset future taxable income. These carryforwards will begin to expire in 2029.

The Company files U.S. and Florida income tax returns. With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. Federal or state and local income tax examinations by taxing authorities for years before 2007.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(12) Related Party Transactions

The Company has entered into transactions with its executive officers, directors and their affiliates in the ordinary course of business. There were loans to related parties at December 31, 2010 and 2009 of approximately \$244,000 and \$4,164,000, respectively. At December 31, 2010 and 2009, these same related parties had approximately \$975,000 and \$1,092,000, respectively, on deposit with the Company.

(13) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company established an Incentive Stock Option Plan (the "Plan") for officers, directors and employees of the Company and reserved 157,680 (amended) shares of common stock for the plan. Both incentive stock options and nonqualified stock options may be granted under the plan. The exercise price of the stock options is determined by the board of directors at the time of grant, but cannot be less than the fair market value of the common stock on the date of grant. The options vest over three and five years.

However, the Company's board of directors authorized the immediate vesting of all stock options outstanding as of December 29, 2005 and no stock options have been granted since 2005. The options must be exercised within ten years from the date of grant. At December 31, 2010, 66,794 options (amended) were available for grant. The plan terminated on February 27, 2011.

A summary of the activity in the Company's stock option plan is as follows. All option amounts reflect the one-for-four reverse stock split declared in October 2010 and the 5% stock dividend declared in May 2010 (dollars in thousands, except share amounts):

	<u>Number of Options</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Exercise Price</u>	<u>Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term</u>	<u>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</u>
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	132,186	\$ 29.24		
Forfeited	<u>(27,197)</u>	32.00		
Outstanding at December 31, 2009	104,989	28.52		
Forfeited	<u>(35,857)</u>	27.84		
Outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2010	<u>69,132</u>	<u>\$ 30.05</u>	<u>3.1 years</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(14) Regulatory Matters

The Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the regulatory banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company's and Bank's financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of their assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and percentages (set forth in the following table) of total and Tier 1 capital (as defined in the regulations) to risk-weighted assets (as defined) and of Tier 1 capital (as defined) to average assets (as defined). As of December 31, 2010, the Bank did not meet the capital adequacy ratios as required in the Consent Order discussed below.

The Bank's actual capital amounts and percentages are also presented in the table (dollars in thousands).

	Actual		For Capital Adequacy Purposes		Minimum To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions		Requirements of Consent Order	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
As of December 31, 2010:								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$ 9,639	6.70%	\$11,513	8.00%	\$14,392	10.00%	\$17,270	12.00%
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	7,817	5.43	5,757	4.00	8,635	6.00	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	7,817	4.02	7,786	4.00	9,733	5.00	15,572	8.00
As of December 31, 2009:								
Total Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	\$18,342	10.23%	\$14,349	8.00%	\$17,937	10.00%	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Risk-Weighted Assets	16,012	8.93	7,175	4.00	10,762	6.00	N/A	N/A
Tier I Capital to Total Assets	16,012	5.85	10,952	4.00	13,690	5.00	N/A	N/A

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(14) Regulatory Matters, Continued

Regulatory Matters - Company. The Company is subject to the supervision and regulation of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the “Federal Reserve”). On June 22, 2010, the Company entered into a written agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (“Reserve Bank”) with respect to certain aspects of the operation and management of the Company (the “Written Agreement”).

The Written Agreement contains the following principal requirements:

- The board of directors of the Company must take appropriate steps to fully utilize the Company’s financial and managerial resources to serve as a source of strength to the Bank, including, but not limited to, taking steps to ensure that the Bank complies with the Consent Order entered into with the OFR and the FDIC and any other supervisory action taken by the Bank’s state or federal regulator.
- The Company may not declare or pay any dividends without prior Reserve Bank and Federal Reserve approval.
- The Company may not, directly or indirectly, take dividends or any other form of payment representing a reduction in capital from the Bank without prior Reserve Bank approval.
- The Company and its nonbank subsidiary, OptimumBank Holdings Capital Trust I, may not make any distributions of interest, principal, or other sums on subordinated debentures or trust preferred securities without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank and the Federal Reserve.
- The Company and its nonbank subsidiary, OptimumBank Holdings Capital Trust I, may not, directly or indirectly, incur, increase, or guarantee any debt or purchase or redeem any shares of its stock without the prior written approval of the Reserve Bank.
- The Company must obtain prior written consent from the Reserve Bank before appointing any new director or senior executive officer, or changing the responsibilities of any senior executive officer so that the officer would assume a different senior executive officer position, and must comply with the regulations applicable to indemnification and severance payments.
- The Company must provide quarterly progress reports to the Reserve Bank, along with parent company only financial statements.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(14) Regulatory Matters, Continued

Regulatory Matters – Bank. Effective April 16, 2010, the Bank consented to the issuance of a Consent Order by the FDIC and the OFR, also effective as of April 16, 2010.

The Consent Order represents an agreement among the Bank, the FDIC and the OFR as to areas of the Bank’s operations that warrant improvement and presents a plan for making those improvements. The Consent Order imposes no fines or penalties on the Bank. The Consent Order will remain in effect and enforceable until it is modified, terminated, suspended, or set aside by the FDIC and the OFR.

The Consent Order contains the following principal requirements:

- The Board of Directors of the Bank is required to increase its participation in the affairs of the Bank and assume full responsibility for the approval of sound policies and objectives for the supervision of all of the Bank’s activities.
- The Bank is required to have and retain qualified and appropriately experienced senior management, including a chief executive officer, a chief lending officer and a chief financial officer, who are given the authority to implement the provisions of the Consent Order.
- Any proposed changes in the Bank’s Board of Directors or senior executive officers are subject to the prior consent of the FDIC and the OFR.
- The Bank is required to maintain both a fully funded allowance for loan and lease losses satisfactory to the FDIC and the OFR and a minimum Tier 1 leverage capital ratio of 8% and a total risk-based capital ratio of 12% for as long as the Consent Order remains in effect.
- The Bank must undertake over a two-year period a scheduled reduction of the balance of loans classified “substandard” and “doubtful” in its 2009 FDIC examination by at least 75%.
- The Bank is required to reduce the volume of its adversely classified private label mortgage backed securities under a plan acceptable to the FDIC and OFR.
- The Bank must submit to the FDIC and the OFR for their review and comment a written business/strategic plan covering the overall operation of the Bank.
- The Bank must implement a plan to improve earnings, addressing goals and strategies for improving and sustaining earnings, major areas for improvement in the Bank’s operating performance, realistic and comprehensive budgets and a budget review process.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(14) Regulatory Matters, Continued

- The Bank is required to revise, implement and incorporate recommendations of the FDIC and OFR with respect to the following policies or plans:
 - Lending and Collection Policies
 - Investment Policy
 - Liquidity, Contingency Funding and Funds Management Plan
 - Interest Rate Risk Management Policy
 - Internal Loan Review and Grading System and
 - Internal Control Policy.
- The Bank's Board of Directors must review the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and establish a comprehensive policy satisfactory to the FDIC and OFR for determining such adequacy at least quarterly thereafter.
- The Bank may not pay any dividends or bonuses without the prior approval of the FDIC.
- The Bank may not accept, renew or rollover any brokered deposits except with the prior approval of the FDIC.
- The Bank is required to notify the FDIC and OFR prior to undertaking asset growth of 10% or more per annum while the Consent Order remains in effect.
- The Bank is required to file quarterly progress reports with the FDIC and the OFR.

The Bank has implemented comprehensive policies and plans to address all of the requirements of the Consent Order and has incorporated recommendations from the FDIC and OFR into these policies and plans. Management believes that the Bank is currently in substantial compliance with all the requirements of the Consent Order except for the Bank's failure to attain the regulatory capital ratio requirements. The Company is conducting a private placement offering of its common stock intended to result in the Bank attaining such capital ratios. There can be no assurance, however, that the Company will raise sufficient capital, if any, in the private placement offering for the Bank to achieve material compliance with these ratios.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(15) Dividends

The Company is limited in the amount of cash dividends that may be paid. Banking regulations place certain restrictions on dividends and loans or advances made by the Bank to the Holding Company. The amount of cash dividends that may be paid by the Bank to the Holding Company is based on the Bank's net earnings of the current year combined with the Bank's retained earnings of the preceding two years, as defined by state banking regulations. However, for any dividend declaration, the Company must consider additional factors such as the amount of current period net earnings, liquidity, asset quality, capital adequacy and economic conditions. It is likely that these factors would further limit the amount of dividends which the Company could declare. In addition, bank regulators have the authority to prohibit banks from paying dividends if they deem such payment to be an unsafe or unsound practice. At December 31, 2010, the Bank and Holding Company could not pay cash dividends (See Note 14).

(16) Contingencies

Various claims also arise from time to time in the normal course of business. In the opinion of management, none have occurred that will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

(17) Simple IRA

The Company has a Simple IRA Plan whereby substantially all employees participate in the Plan. Employees may contribute up to 15 percent of their compensation subject to certain limits based on federal tax laws. The Company makes matching contributions equal to the first 3% of an employee's compensation contributed to the Plan. Matching contributions vest to the employee immediately. For the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, expense attributable to the Plan amounted to \$35,000 and \$42,000, respectively.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(18) Fair Value Measurement

Impaired collateral-dependent loans are carried at fair value when the current collateral value is lower than the carrying value of the loan. Those impaired collateral-dependent loans which are measured at fair value or a nonrecurring basis are as follows (in thousands):

	At December 31, 2010					Losses Recorded in Operations For the Year Ended December 31, 2010
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Losses	
Residential real estate-						
Closed-end first mortgages	\$ 4,136	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 4,136	\$ 561	\$ 561
Commercial real estate:						
Owner-occupied	70	—	—	70	20	20
Non-owner-occupied	8,893	—	—	8,893	2,583	1,857
Land and construction	7,231	—	—	7,231	1,815	1,363
	<u>\$20,330</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$20,330</u>	<u>\$4,979</u>	<u>\$ 3,801</u>

	At December 31, 2009					Losses Recorded in Operations For the Year Ended December 31, 2009
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total Losses	
Residential real estate:						
Closed-end first mortgages	\$ 3,412	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 3,412	\$1,227	\$ 1,227
Closed-end second mortgages	200	—	—	200	205	205
Multi-family real estate	842	—	—	842	562	562
Commercial real estate-						
Non-owner-occupied	1,656	—	—	1,656	1,844	1,844
Land and construction	7,855	—	—	7,855	4,490	4,426
Consumer-						
Non-real estate secured	1	—	—	1	198	198
	<u>\$13,966</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$13,966</u>	<u>\$8,526</u>	<u>\$ 8,462</u>

Loans with a carrying value of \$15,796,000 and \$20,370,000 at December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were measured for impairment using Level 3 inputs and had a fair value in excess of carrying value.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(18) Fair Value Measurement, Continued

Foreclosed real estate is recorded at fair value less estimated costs to sell. Foreclosed real estate which is measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis is as follows (in thousands):

	At Year End				Total Losses	Losses Recorded During the Year
	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3		
At December 31, 2010	<u>\$3,215</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$3,215</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>
At December 31, 2009	<u>\$5,487</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$5,487</u>	<u>\$ 82</u>	<u>\$ 8</u>

(19) Stockholders' Equity

The Company's board of directors authorized a one-for-four reverse stock split on October 18, 2010, which became effective on November 5, 2010, with fractional shares rounded up to the next largest whole share. As part of the reverse stock split, the Company amended its Articles of Incorporation on November 5, 2010, to ratably decrease the number of common shares authorized from 6,000,000 shares to 1,500,000 shares.

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(20) Holding Company Financial Information

The Holding Company's unconsolidated financial information as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years then ended follows (in thousands):

Condensed Balance Sheets

	<u>At December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Assets		
Cash	\$ 172	\$ 286
Investment in subsidiary	7,817	16,012
Other assets	<u>180</u>	<u>180</u>
Total assets	<u>\$8,169</u>	<u>\$16,478</u>
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity		
Other liabilities	\$ 179	\$ 35
Junior subordinated debenture	5,155	5,155
Stockholders' equity	<u>2,835</u>	<u>11,288</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$8,169</u>	<u>\$16,478</u>

Condensed Statements of Operations

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Loss of subsidiary	\$ (8,195)	\$ (11,189)
Interest expense	(144)	(275)
Other expense	<u>(114)</u>	<u>(147)</u>
Loss before income tax benefit	(8,453)	(11,611)
Income tax benefit	<u>—</u>	<u>(130)</u>
Net loss	<u>\$ (8,453)</u>	<u>\$ (11,481)</u>

(continued)

OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Continued

(20) Holding Company Financial Information, Continued

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows

	<u>Year Ended December 31,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (8,453)	\$ (11,481)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Equity in undistributed loss of subsidiary	8,195	11,189
Decrease in other assets	—	76
Increase (decrease) in accrued other liabilities	<u>144</u>	<u>(110)</u>
Net cash used in operating activities	<u>(114)</u>	<u>(326)</u>
Cash flow from investing activity-		
Dividend from subsidiary	<u>—</u>	<u>250</u>
Net decrease in cash	(114)	(76)
Cash at beginning of the year	<u>286</u>	<u>362</u>
Cash at end of year	<u>\$ 172</u>	<u>\$ 286</u>
Noncash transactions:		
Change in accumulated other comprehensive loss of subsidiary, net change in unrealized loss on security available for sale, net of tax	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 4</u>
Common stock dividend	<u>\$ —</u>	<u>\$ 554</u>
Reverse stock split	<u>\$ 25</u>	<u>\$ —</u>

(continued)

SUBSIDIARIES OF THE REGISTRANT

<u>NAME</u>	<u>STATE OF ORGANIZATION</u>
OPTIMUMBANK	FLORIDA
OPTIMUMBANK HOLDINGS CAPITAL TRUST I	DELAWARE
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS 1503, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS 1695, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS 1669, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS 1645, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS 1565, LLC	FLORIDA
OB REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT, LLC	FLORIDA

**Certification of the Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer
Required by Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

I, Richard L. Browdy, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this report on Form 10-K of OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. (the "Company");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. I am responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15e and 15d-15e) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15f and 15d-15f) for the Company and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiary, is made known to us by others within that entity, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. I have disclosed, based on my most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Company's auditors and the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors:
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: April 15, 2011

/s/ Richard L. Browdy

Richard L. Browdy, President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER
PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADDED BY
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Annual Report of OptimumBank Holdings, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Report"), I, Richard L. Browdy, President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer) of the Company, certify, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. § 1350, as added by § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

1. The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
2. To my knowledge, the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the period covered by the Report.

Date: April 15, 2011

/s/ Richard L. Browdy
Richard L. Browdy, President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Executive and Principal Financial Officer)